

Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver Consensus Statements on the Diagnosis, Management and Treatment of Hepatitis C Virus Infection

Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver (APASL) Hepatitis C Working Party

J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2007;22(5):615-633. ©2007 Blackwell
Publishing

Posted 07/05/2007

1. Laboratory Testing for HCV Infection^[1-8]

Past exposure to hepatitis C virus (HCV) is mostly determined by testing for specific antibodies using an approved enzyme immunoassay (EIA). The presence of antibody shows that the patient has been infected with the virus but does not indicate whether the infection is acute, chronic or resolved. The absence of antibody usually shows that the patient has not been infected. However, antibody might not be detectable in the first few weeks after initial infection (known as the window period) or in patients who are immunosuppressed. Furthermore, there is some evidence that in patients who resolve their infection, antibody levels might decrease and become undetectable many years later.

Several countries in the Asia-Pacific region have developed their own individual testing algorithms for anti-HCV testing. For confirmatory testing, some of these approaches include:

- Repeat testing of reactive samples in the same EIA
- Retesting reactive samples in a second, independent EIA
- Testing by immunoblot
- Presumption that a high signal-to-cut-off ratio for a sample in a specific EIA is highly predictive of an authentic anti-HCV positive result
- Use of a nucleic acid test (NAT) for detection of HCV RNA. Note that although a NAT for HCV RNA can be helpful in diagnosis, it cannot be considered a true confirmatory test.

Ideally, all samples shown to be anti-HCV reactive should be retested using an assay with high specificity to confirm reactivity. However, for some laboratories financial constraints can preclude this approach.

Anti-HCV testing is important for determining exposure to the virus but does not identify whether the patient has current infection. However, this information can be provided by an appropriately performed NAT for HCV RNA. Qualitative testing for HCV RNA can offer some important advantages, including:

- Determination of chronicity
- Monitoring response to antiviral therapy
- Assessment of anti-HCV indeterminate samples.

Testing for the presence of HCV RNA should be strongly considered in patients at high risk of infection but who might be anti-HCV negative or indeterminate because of immunosuppression (by therapy or disease, such as patients on hemodialysis or with HIV infection). HCV RNA isolation is also necessary for determination of HCV genotypes. A number of HCV genotype classification schemes have been used. In the most recent, HCV has been classified into six major genotypes, which can be further divided into subtypes. Some genotypes such as HCV 1, 2 and 3 are widely distributed, although others are more geographically restricted.

Interferon (IFN)-based therapy has become the mainstay of chronic HCV treatment and improved outcomes have been achieved as knowledge is gained about the predictors of response to therapy. Virus genotype and viral load have been shown to be key viral characteristics to guide treatment and clinical management of patients with chronic infection.

Several methods are available to determine HCV genotype. The method used will vary from country-to-country and might depend on approval by relevant health authorities and/or available funding. Methods include:

- Direct sequencing of PCR product (region amplified could be 5' untranslated region (UTR), core, NS5A and NS5B)

- Reverse-phase hybridization (e.g. line probe assay)
- Type-specific PCR
- Restriction fragment length polymorphism after PCR amplification
- Melting curve analysis after real-time PCR amplification
- Typing using genotype-specific antibodies
- Restriction fragment mass polymorphism analysis by mass spectrometry.

The 5' UTR is well conserved but has sufficient nucleotide sequence divergence to discriminate between most genotypes. It is the target region for most diagnostic HCV RNA PCR assays and genotyping based on the 5' UTR has a high concordance with genotype determined by sequencing of NS5B. A possible disadvantage of relying on the 5' UTR for genotype determination is its inability to discriminate the HCV genotype 6c-I, highly prevalent in the Asia-Pacific region, which can be mistyped as HCV genotype 1/1b because of sequence homology. Sequence analysis of the core region is sufficient to identify the HCV genotype 6c-I and a new generation line probe assay designed with core-specific oligonucleotides is also being evaluated. At present, the inability to distinguish HCV genotype 6c-I might impact on predicted sustained virological response (SVR) in patients with apparent genotype 1/1b who are to receive IFN-based therapies. Currently trials are underway to evaluate optimal treatment duration for genotype 6.

The final use of HCV RNA isolation is that of viral load estimation. There does not appear to be any association between disease activity, progression to chronicity and HCV viral load. However, viral load has been shown to be a prognostic indicator of therapy outcome. Monitoring of viral load on therapy has also proven useful, especially for patients infected with HCV genotype 1, with the lack of an early virological response predictive of long-term treatment failure. HCV load testing for patients infected with HCV genotypes 2 or 3 is not recommended as nearly all have an early virological response and a qualitative NAT for HCV RNA is preferred.

Commercial signal amplification and target amplification assays are available for quantification of HCV RNA. In addition, several laboratories have developed their own in-house load assays.

Traditional end-point PCR for viral load has several disadvantages, in particular a limited dynamic range, so laboratories persisting with in-house testing should adopt the real-time PCR format. Such assays can be calibrated to the World Health Organization (WHO) International Standard.

Most recently, commercial HCV core antigen assays have become available. In some circumstances these might be an alternative to HCV RNA assays. The HCV core antigen assays show a good correlation with HCV RNA assays but due to limited sensitivity, they are probably not suited to the monitoring of patients on therapy. The major role these assays might play is in the identification of blood donors in the seroconversion window.

1. Consensus statements: HCV Infection and Laboratory Testing

1.1. Anti-HCV antibody testing should be by approved anti-HCV third or fourth generation EIA. (II-2)*

1.2. Samples negative in an approved EIA can be reported as anti-HCV negative. However, it should be noted that individuals on hemodialysis or coinfecting with HIV might be HCV RNA positive but anti-HCV negative. (II-2)

1.3. Samples reactive in an approved single EIA can be reported as anti-HCV positive provided the signal-to-cut-off ratio is sufficiently high to be predictive of a true positive.[#] (III)

1.4. For samples that do not reach this threshold or have reactivity close to the cut-off a qualitative NAT for HCV RNA should be considered and/or a further follow-up sample be obtained for both anti-HCV and HCV RNA NAT. (III)

1.5. HCV RNA qualitative and quantitative testing requires appropriate contamination controls. (II-2)

1.6. A dedicated sample/aliquot not derived from other test samples is preferred for HCV RNA qualitative and quantitative (viral load) testing. (II-2)

1.7. HCV RNA quantitation should be reported in IU/mL (optional to include copies/mL).** (III)

1.8. HCV genotype testing is important for assessing treatment duration and efficacy of antiviral therapy. However, it should be recognized that genotype discrimination based on primers from the 5' UTR do not distinguish some of the genotype 6 subtypes prevalent in South-East Asia and instead classifies them as genotype 1 or 1b. (II-2)

1.9. Participation in an external quality assurance program for all testing is ideal. (II-2)

1.10. Internal quality assurance testing is required for all testing. (II-2)

*Numbers in parentheses refer to levels of evidence.^[9]

#An option, which can be used as an alternative to a secondary confirmatory assay, is to use the initial screening assay signal-to-cut-off ratio (S/CO) to estimate the probability of a patient's true antibody status. The ratio is calculated by dividing the optical density (OD) value of the test sample by the OD of the assay cut-off. An example of the calculation of the ratio: Sample OD = 2.991, cut-off OD = 0.377, therefore S/CO ratio = 7.934.

For most standard EIAs (i.e. those that do not use chemilumescence), an S/CO ratio greater than 3-4 should be indicative of the presence of true anti-HCV antibodies. Such tests include:

- Murex Anti-HCV (version 4.0)
- Bio-Rad Monolisa Anti-HCV Plus Version 2
- Ortho HCV Version 3.0 ELISA Test System.

Choosing the appropriate S/CO ratio for a laboratory will depend on several factors, including the type of EIA, the prevalence of anti-HCV in the population being tested and degree of confidence required in the positive predictive value. For example, using the Ortho HCV Version 3.0 ELISA Test System, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) found that an S/CO ratio of ≥ 3.8 was predictive of a true anti-HCV result $\geq 95\%$ of the time, regardless of the population being tested.^[8]

**The high sensitivity of amplification technologies must be counterbalanced by the potential for contamination leading to false positive results. The possibility of contamination cannot be eliminated

but good laboratory design and work practices can minimize the chances of it occurring.

The greatest potential source of contamination is amplified material generated from the previous PCR; however, the possibility of contamination from the nucleic acid extraction step must also be considered.

Ideally, separate rooms should be used for each step of the PCR process: PCR reagent preparation ("clean" room), nucleic acid extraction, amplification and post-PCR analysis. In general, the use of commercial HCV RNA assays and the use of real-time PCR make the requirement for a post-PCR area redundant, provided the amplified material is disposed of correctly. Each area should have dedicated gowns, gloves and equipment (e.g. pipettes and centrifuges). Aerosol barrier tips (or equivalent) should be used for all steps, including the reagent preparation. A workflow of reagent preparation to extraction to amplification should be followed; if returning against the workflow, gowns and gloves need to be changed. The PCR reagent preparation needs to take place in a clean room but this could be a shared facility (e.g. media preparation) so long as an extraneous source of contamination is unlikely to be present. The extraction area should have a biological safety cabinet to carry out extractions not only as a contamination prevention measure but also as the samples might themselves represent an exposure hazard to the worker. Aliquotting of reagents both in the PCR reagent preparation and extraction area will minimize problems should contamination be found to occur. The extracted material can be added to the PCR reagents in this room and then transferred to the thermal cycler in the amplification area.

It has been recommended that for recycling of equipment (e.g. test-tube racks) and for the cleaning of workbenches that a 1/10 dilution of standard bleach be used. It is likely that cleaning with a germicidal detergent is equally effective.

2. Prevention of HCV Infection^[10-16]

World Health Organization estimates that as many as 170 million persons worldwide might be infected with HCV. In Asia, the estimated figures range from 0.3% of the population in New Zealand to 4% in Cambodia. Data for the Pacific region are difficult to obtain, but estimates of an HCV antibody rate of up to 4.9% have been recorded

in some parts of the Pacific. In the Middle East, levels of 12% have been reported in some centers. Data available on the incidence of new cases of hepatitis C are scanty because of the difficulty in differentiating between new cases and the initial diagnosis of chronically infected subjects. As the relative importance of the various modes of transmission of HCV varies from country to country, the incidence of new cases will also vary. Better data are required to confirm any changes in incidence. However, there is little doubt that the epidemiology of HCV infection in the Asia-Pacific region is changing. In the new millennium, with the introduction of universal screening of blood products and the abolition of paid blood donation, injecting drug use (IDU) has become the most common route of HCV transmission.

In Australia, IDU is the admitted risk factor for chronic HCV infection in 65% of patients seen in hospital clinics. Furthermore, IDU is considered responsible for nearly all new infections. Some data indicate that the risk of transmission of HCV can be reduced by harm reduction methods (e.g. needle exchange programs and public education). However, the high prevalence of IDU in the community has meant a continuing high incidence of new HCV infections. Despite the illegal status of illicit drug injection, consideration should be given to more widespread application of harm reduction strategies.

The data concerning risk factors for transmission of hepatitis C in other regions in Asia are relatively sparse. In Japan, the lower prevalence of hepatitis C in younger compared to older people suggests that the incidence of HCV infection is decreasing. The use of traditional therapies (including acupuncture, folk remedies and Suidama) has been a major source of past transmission for HCV in some regions of Japan and Taiwan. In some countries, tattooing, including eyebrow tattooing in Vietnam and Cambodia, might be an important risk factor for transmission of the infection.

There is evidence that medical practices such as using non-disposable glass syringes and needles have been an important mode of HCV transmission. Transfusion of blood and blood products prior to HCV screening have also been a major mode of HCV transmission. However, the introduction of universal blood donor screening can virtually eliminate post-transfusion hepatitis C. The lack of universal screening of blood donors in some areas of Asia is still responsible for new cases of hepatitis C in these areas. Some contemporary medical practices still carry a risk of HCV transmission from blood

contamination, particularly hemodialysis. Such transmission can be prevented with the use of universal infection control precautions.

Mother-to-baby spread has been demonstrated in approximately 7% of HCV RNA-positive mothers. Although there have been a few studies on the prevalence and risk of mother-to-infant transmission of HCV from the Asia-Pacific region, the different definition of mother-to-infant transmission used in these studies makes comparison of the data difficult. Possible criteria for more rigorous definition of mother-to-infant transmission of HCV infection include: (i) detectable anti-HCV in an infant aged older than 18 months; (ii) detection of HCV RNA in an infant aged 3-6 months of age; (iii) detection of HCV RNA in an infant on at least two occasions; (iv) elevated serum amino transaminases in an infant; or (v) identical genotype between mother and child. Co-infection with HIV and high blood levels of HCV-RNA correlate with the risk of perinatal transmission. Long-term follow-up studies have shown a low prevalence of HCV-related clinical signs and symptoms among vertically infected children in the first 10-15 years of life. Approximately 20% of children appear to clear the infection, 50% have evidence of chronic asymptomatic infection, and about 30% have evidence of chronic infection with elevated transaminase levels. Sexual transmission does occur, but is rare. There are few data regarding prevention in this situation, but concurrent sexually transmitted diseases and sexual practices that could involve blood contamination might increase the risk of HCV transmission. Common sense recommendations to reduce spread of HCV in the household setting (such as not sharing razors, avoiding blood contaminations) and by sexual transmission have been referred to in previous authoritative documents.

2. Consensus Statements: Prevention of HCV Infection

2.1. All countries must introduce universal screening of blood donors for hepatitis C antibody (anti-HCV) with third or fourth generation EIAs. More data on the cost-effectiveness of nucleic acid testing for universal screening of blood products is required. (II-2)

2.2. In healthcare settings, adherence to universal precautions for infection control is essential. This should include use of disposable or adequately sterilized materials for invasive procedures, and adequate cleansing and sterilization of instruments. (II-2)

2.3. As transmission of HCV via IDU is an increasing trend in the Asia-Pacific region, it is important to implement an education campaign about the harm of drug use, especially among school-age children. Harm reduction programs such as needle syringe programs should also be implemented. It is important to educate tattooists and practitioners of traditional or alternative therapies about ways to minimize blood contamination. This involves sterilization techniques for procedures that involve skin penetration or breaks to mucosal surfaces. (II-2)

3. Natural History of HCV Infection^[17-33]

The natural history of hepatitis C is quite variable. There are some inherent drawbacks in studying natural history. Firstly, it is difficult to ascertain the exact time of acquirement of infection; second, primary infection is commonly asymptomatic and thirdly disease progression is slow. Natural history data reported in the literature vary according to the type of study (retrospective *vs* prospective). Different study populations also result in different predictions about natural history (patients attending liver clinic *vs* blood donors *vs* community based studies *vs* post-transfusion cohorts). In spite of these variations there are some generalizations that can be made.

In acute HCV infection:

- 20-30% of patients are symptomatic
- Fulminant hepatic failure is very uncommon
- Elevation in serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels occurs approximately 2-8 weeks after exposure
- HCV RNA can be detected in serum within 1-2 weeks after exposure
- HCV RNA levels increase progressively and peak before ALT rise and development of symptoms.
- 20-50% of patients might clear the virus spontaneously.
- Symptomatic patients and women are more likely to clear the virus

- Most patients who clear infection do so within the first 12 weeks
- 50-80% of patients will develop chronic infection.

In chronic HCV infection:

- Up to 20-30% of patients will develop a progressive liver disease leading to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)
- Cirrhosis rates begin to become significant after 20 years of infection
- HCC rates begin to become significant after 30 years of infection
- Factors associated with disease progression include duration of infection, age at the time of acquisition of infection, sex, alcohol consumption, immunosuppression (e.g. HIV coinfection or organ transplant recipients), obesity and insulin resistance, coinfection with other viruses, elevated aminotransferases and genetic factors
- Although elevated ALT suggests active liver damage, normal ALT does not exclude significant liver disease
- Progression to cirrhosis can be best predicted on baseline histological parameters such as the activity of necroinflammation and stage of fibrosis
- Once patients develop cirrhosis, HCC develops at approximately 1-4% per year and is increased in patients with raised α -fetoprotein levels at baseline.

3. Consensus Statements: Natural History of HCV Infection

3.1. Acute hepatitis C is a well-recognized entity. In the stage of acute hepatitis patients should be monitored for spontaneous viral clearance. Patients with symptomatic acute hepatitis and female sex are more likely to clear the virus.

3.2. In chronic HCV infection, elevated serum ALT suggests

progressive liver damage. However, normal ALT does not exclude significant liver disease. A fibrosis score (Metavir score >2 or Ishak score >3) suggests progressive liver disease.

3.3. In chronic HCV infection, it is well recognized that excessive alcohol and insulin resistance are associated with disease progression. It is recommended that patients consume less than the WHO guidelines for alcohol intake. It is recommended that obesity and insulin resistance be controlled through exercise and dietary intervention to achieve ideal BMI. (II-2)

3.4. In patients with HCV related liver cirrhosis, risk of hepatic decompensation is approximately 3-4% per year; 1.4-6.9% per year for HCC. In patients with well-compensated HCV cirrhosis the 10-year survival rate is 80%. However, if there are features of decompensation, the survival rate is significantly reduced, i.e. to approximately 25%. HCC is a frequent and life-threatening complication of chronic HCV infection. In cirrhotic patients, a surveillance program for the early detection of HCC should be offered. (II-2)

3.5. IFN therapy impacts positively on the natural history of HCV-related liver cirrhosis. Among sustained virological responders, the rate of decompensation at 5 years is 1%. In the biochemical responders, the 5-year rate of decompensation is 9.1%. (II-1)

4. Treatment of HCV Infection^[34-74]

Before a discussion of specific therapies, some general points need to be made. The desired end-point of treatment of HCV infection is viral clearance, as indicated by non-detectability of HCV RNA in serum by the most sensitive test available.

- Rapid virological response (RVR) is defined as non-detectability of serum HCV RNA (<50 IU/mL) after 4 weeks of therapy.
- Early virological response (EVR) is defined as undetectable HCV RNA (<50 IU/mL) or at least a 2 log decrease in serum HCV RNA from baseline level after 12 weeks of therapy. Studies using pegylated (peg)-IFN showed that 65-72% of subjects with EVR went on to develop a SVR.
- End-of-treatment virological response (ETVR) is indicated by

non-detectability of HCV RNA at the end of therapy.

- Sustained virological response is defined as undetectable serum HCV RNA (<50 IU/mL) 24 weeks after the end of therapy.
- Low viral load (LVL) is defined as serum HCV RNA < 400 000 IU/mL
- High viral load (HVL) is defined as serum HCV RNA > 400 000 IU/mL.

An SVR is the best correlate of beneficial changes in hepatic fibrosis, prevention of HCC and improvement in other clinical outcomes. SVR has been shown to have the following beneficial effects: (i) fibrotic regression; (ii) substantially reduced rate of HCC; (iii) decreased rate of other complications, including liver failure and liver-related death; and (iv) improved quality of life.

Alcohol intake should be discouraged during treatment. Hepatitis A and B immunization should be advised in patients not immune to hepatitis A virus and hepatitis B virus (HBV).

With improved treatment results, the value of liver biopsy is being questioned because of the potential risks of the procedure and concerns regarding sampling error. Clinical, biochemical and imaging findings can identify many patients with advanced cirrhosis, but not those with lesser degrees of fibrosis. A liver biopsy would be useful in this latter group of patients. Although liver fibrosis markers are commercially available, they are currently insufficiently accurate to support their routine use.

Specific issues regarding therapy in acute and chronic HCV infection will now be addressed.

In acute HCV infection, serum HCV RNA is usually detected before the appearance of anti-HCV and is often the only diagnostic indicator of this condition. Acute hepatitis C infection often becomes chronic, especially in asymptomatic individuals. However, up to 50% of patients who presented with symptoms can spontaneously resolve their infection. Female sex and infection by HCV genotype non-1 increase the chance of spontaneous resolution. Spontaneous resolution is less likely after 12 weeks of infection. Treatment of hepatitis C in the acute stage has resulted in better SVR rates than treatment in the

chronic stage. The objective of antiviral treatment in acute hepatitis C is to prevent the development of chronic hepatitis C.

Studies using daily induction doses of conventional IFN- α followed by three times weekly IFN- α , as well as those using peg-IFN- α for 24 weeks, have achieved high rates of SVR in acute hepatitis C. Peg-IFN- α has been found to be superior to conventional IFN- α plus ribavirin. Addition of ribavirin to IFN- α or peg-IFN- α has not resulted in significant improvement in SVR rates. HCV genotypes 2, 3 and 4 respond better than HCV genotype 1 and treatment time can be reduced to 12 weeks with peg-IFN- α in subjects infected with these HCV genotypes. Prophylactic IFN is not recommended in needle stick injuries because of low overall infectivity rate.

The objective of antiviral treatment for chronic hepatitis C infection is to prevent liver-related complications, including HCC.

The following have been shown to influence treatment outcome: (i) age; (ii) sex; (iii) virus genotype; (iv) virus load; and (v) stage of fibrosis, especially F3, F4.

The response to IFN- α plus ribavirin of patients with normal serum ALT levels is similar to that of patients with raised ALT levels and these patients should not be denied therapy.

Patients with no (F0) or minimal (F1) hepatic fibrosis do not necessarily need antiviral therapy. However, treatment should be considered for those who have disabling symptoms or a higher grade of activity on liver biopsy, and for those persons who wish to be treated regardless. As for all patients, they should receive advice concerning:

1. The natural history of their disease, especially the likelihood and projected timing of any possible liver related complications.
2. The efficacy of available treatments.
3. The cost of available treatments.
4. The adverse effects of available treatments, and need for ongoing contraception after administration of ribavirin.

The success rate of obtaining an SVR following HCV treatment has improved since the last APASL consensus statement in 2000. In randomized controlled trials, the highest overall SVR rates have been achieved with the combination of once a week subcutaneous injection of long acting peg-IFN- α combined with daily oral ribavirin for 1 year. This is the current standard treatment, especially for patients infected with HCV genotype 1. Peg-IFN is produced by binding polyethylene glycol to IFN molecules resulting in slower absorption from subcutaneous sites and decreased renal clearance leading to increased half-life.

There are two licensed peg-IFN- α products available for use in the Asia-Pacific region. Two large phase 3 studies using weekly doses of the two different peg-IFN- α , both combined with daily ribavirin, given for 48 weeks have demonstrated improved efficacy with higher SVR rates when compared to three times weekly standard IFN plus daily ribavirin combination. Patients infected with HCV genotypes 2 and 3 had SVR rates over 80%. A study showed that 6 months' treatment is sufficient for persons infected with HCV genotypes 2 and 3. It is recommended that patients infected with HCV genotype 1 be treated for 1 year and that those infected with genotypes 2 or 3 be treated for 6 months. Because of small numbers of patients with HCV genotypes 4, 5 and 6 in the various studies, the most appropriate duration of therapy for persons infected with these HCV genotypes is unknown. Patients with LVL have an increased SVR. Recent data showed that patients with low levels of HCV genotype 1 who lose their serum HCV RNA after one month of therapy (rapid virological response; RVR) would need only 6 months of treatment with the standard combination therapy. However, data are conflicting on whether patients with HCV genotype 2 or 3 with LVL who achieved loss of serum HCV RNA after 1 month of treatment should be considered for 12-16 weeks of therapy. Any positive data regarding this applies more to patients with HCV genotype 2 than genotype 3. The recommended dose of peg-IFN- α 2a is 180 μ g weekly and the recommended dose of peg-IFN- α 2b is 1.5 μ g/kg bodyweight. Ribavirin doses of 1000 mg daily are recommended for persons up to 75 kg in weight and 1200 mg for persons more than 75 kg in weight.

There are few absolute contraindications for use of peg-IFN- α and ribavirin (RBV). They include:

- Present or past psychosis or severe depression

- Uncontrolled seizures
- Hepatic decompensation
- Pregnancy (RBV)
- Renal failure (RBV)
- Severe heart disease (RBV).

The relative contraindications for IFN and ribavirin are:

- History of depression
- Uncontrolled diabetes mellitus
- Uncontrolled hypertension
- Retinopathy
- Psoriasis
- Autoimmune thyroiditis or other active autoimmune disorders including autoimmune hepatitis
- Symptomatic heart disease or severe vascular disease (RBV)
- Anemia/ischemic vascular disease (RBV).

In addition to these contraindications, special caution is required if IFN is administered in the following circumstances:

- Neutropenia (neutrophil count <1500 cells/ μL^3)
- Thrombocytopenia (platelet count $<85\ 000$ / μL^3)
- Organ transplantation
- History of autoimmune disease
- Presence of thyroid autoantibodies
- Age older than 70 years.

Testing prior to starting IFN with ribavirin treatment is indicated to identify those who might be at special risk of adverse effects, and monitoring during therapy is recommended mainly to prevent serious adverse events. The incidence and types of side-effects of peg-IFN- α plus ribavirin are similar to those caused by conventional IFN plus ribavirin. Side-effects related to IFN include: cytopenia, abnormalities of thyroid function, depression, irritability, concentration and memory disturbances, visual disturbances, fatigue, muscle aches, headaches, nausea and vomiting, loss of appetite and weight, low grade fever and skin irritation, insomnia, hearing loss, tinnitus, interstitial fibrosis and hair thinning. Side-effects associated with ribavirin include hemolytic anemia, fatigue, itching, rash, cough, gastrointestinal upset, pharyngitis, gout and birth defects. It is essential that persons who take ribavirin practice strict contraception during treatment and for 6 months after the termination of treatment. Adverse events are usually more severe in the initial weeks of treatment and can often be managed with analgesics and antidepressants. The adverse events due to ribavirin and IFN can be controlled by erythropoietin and granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF).

What about patients who do not achieve an SVR? This includes primary non-response and relapse.

Sustained virological response can be achieved by re-treatment with peg-IFN α and ribavirin in up to 40% of subjects who failed to respond to peg-IFN- α monotherapy and in 10% of subjects who failed to respond to peg-IFN- α and ribavirin. Up to 50% of subjects who relapse after initial treatment with IFN monotherapy have also been found to achieve SVR after re-treatment with peg-IFN and ribavirin combination. Genotypes 2 and 3 and LVL were positive predictors in such subjects. Studies are ongoing on the effects of peg-IFN plus ribavirin combination on patients who relapse after successful treatment with standard IFN monotherapy.

There is some evidence that re-treatment might have secondary benefits of reducing inflammation and fibrosis progression and possibly reversing early cirrhosis. These could translate to a delay in the development of HCC. These findings have not been tested in well-documented trials. Such trials are ongoing and no recommendation can be offered with regards to the value of maintenance therapy. Maintenance IFN or peg-IFN therapy could be considered in patients with severe fibrosis as no definitive therapy to achieve SVR is available currently.

Apart from IFN-based therapies, some comment needs to be made about the use of complimentary therapies as they are in wide use in the Asia-Pacific region.

The aims of adjuvant or complementary therapy in chronic HCV infection are:

- To improve SVR
- To decrease hepatic fibrosis, particularly in those with non-SVR
- To improve symptoms.

No proposed adjuvant or complementary therapy has been shown to improve SVR or to retard fibrotic progression. Combination therapies involving thymosin- α 1 and amantadine have been considered. Therapies that have been proven to reduce serum ALT might be considered in the absence of the effective treatment to achieve SVR. Such adjuvant therapies might include phlebotomy, ursodeoxycholic acid and strong neominophagen-C (SNMC). Phlebotomy (or other measures to reduce iron stores), ofloxacin, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and amantadine (or its analogs) have been found to be not beneficial. Thymosin- α 1 has shown some promise alone or in combination with IFN- α , but larger studies are required.

During use of herbal medicines alone, and especially in combination with antiviral therapy, patients should be monitored for hepatic, renal or pulmonary toxicity. In patients with a non-response to IFN or combination IFN/ribavirin therapy, vitamin E, thymosin- α 1, interleukin-10, ursodeoxycholic acid, TJ-9 (Sho-saiko-to), glycyrrhizin and possibly other herbal medicines (silymarin) might be worthy of further evaluation for their effects on hepatic fibrosis and risk of HCC development.

4. Consensus Statements: Treatment of HCV Infection

4.1. SVR should be the goal of antiviral therapy for HCV infection. Biochemical (ALT) and histological response should be used only as secondary descriptors, although normalization of ALT and histological improvement might also modify the natural history and clinical outcomes. (II-2)

4.2. A liver biopsy is not mandatory in order to initiate therapy, especially if the subject is infected with HCV genotype 2 or 3. However, a liver biopsy before commencing therapy might provide information on prognosis. (III)

4.3. The diagnosis of acute hepatitis C in high-risk patients should be confirmed by the detection of HCV RNA in serum. (II-2)

4.4. Treatment in acute hepatitis C should be delayed for 8-16 weeks to allow for spontaneous resolution, especially in symptomatic patients. (II-1)

4.5. Both standard IFN (high dose) and peg-IFN can be used for treating subjects with acute hepatitis C. (I)

4.6. Acute infection with HCV genotype 1 should be treated for 24 weeks. Acute infection with HCV genotypes 2 or 3 can be treated for 12 weeks. (II-1)

4.7. The addition of ribavirin does not appear to increase SVR in patients with acute hepatitis C treated with either IFN or peg-IFN. (II-2)

4.8. In chronic HCV infection, patients with normal ALT levels have response rates similar to those with raised ALT levels and might be considered for therapy. (I)

4.9. In chronic HCV infection, treatment is indicated in those patients with histological stage of F1 or above on liver biopsy. (I)

4.10. In chronic HCV infection, patients with HCV genotype 2 and 3 can be treated regardless of the stage of the disease. (III)

4.11. Patients with compensated cirrhosis should be considered for treatment. (I)

4.12. Patients with decompensated cirrhosis should not be treated with the current therapy in the general setting, but should be referred for liver transplantation. (III)

4.13. In chronic HCV genotype 1 infection, the following apply: (I)

- Treatment with peg-IFN and ribavirin for 48 weeks is recommended
- In patients who achieve an RVR at week 4, treatment can be discontinued after 24 weeks
- In patients who achieve an EVR at week 12, treatment should be continued up to 48 weeks
- Treatment may be stopped in patients who do not achieve an EVR at week 12.

4.14. In chronic HCV genotype 2 or 3 infection, the following apply: (I)

- Treatment with either conventional IFN- α and ribavirin or peg-IFN- α with or without ribavirin for 24 weeks is recommended (although peg-IFN plus ribavirin might be more effective in patients with cirrhosis or a HVL)
- There is some evidence that shortening duration of therapy to 16 weeks in HCV genotype 2 infection provides equal SVR to 24 weeks treatment.

4.15. In chronic HCV infection, if ribavirin is not available or is contraindicated, IFN monotherapy might still have a role although SVR in patients with genotype 1 and cirrhosis might be considerably reduced. (I)

4.16. Prior to starting IFN and ribavirin treatment, the following should be completed: (III)

- Full medical history and clinical examination
- Baseline laboratory tests include liver biochemistry, renal function, complete blood count, thyroid function and auto-antibody studies
- Serum HCV RNA (quantitative) and HCV genotyping/serotyping
- Liver biopsy if appropriate

- Cardiac and pulmonary evaluation if indicated
- Psychiatric evaluation if indicated
- Pregnancy test.

4.17. During treatment, the following should be performed: (III)

- Full medical history and clinical examination every visit
- Liver biochemistry and renal function every 4 weeks
- Complete blood count at 2, 4 and 6 weeks and every 4 weeks thereafter
- Serum HCV RNA at 4 weeks and 12 weeks
- Thyroid function every 3-6 months
- Psychiatric evaluation if indicated
- Chest X-ray, ophthalmic or audiogram examination if indicated
- Cardiac assessment if indicated
- Reinforce advice regarding need for contraception.

4.18. After treatment: (III)

- If ETVR is achieved, patient should be followed up and serum HCV RNA should be reassessed 24 weeks later to document SVR.
- Effective birth control should be continued for at least 6 months for patients who have taken ribavirin.

4.19. In those who have undergone previous treatment with conventional IFN or peg-IFN monotherapy and experienced non-response or relapse, re-treatment with peg-IFN plus ribavirin can be considered, particularly in those with significant fibrosis or cirrhosis. (II-2)

5. Special Groups

5.1. HCV Infection in Liver Transplant Candidates^[75-147]

The increasing global burden of the hepatitis C epidemic is now reflected by the rising prevalence of HCV-related end-stage liver disease (ESLD) and HCC. Liver transplantation is now the accepted treatment for ESLD and for small HCC arising within a cirrhotic liver. Consequently, HCV-related ESLD and HCC is now the leading indication for adult elective liver transplants performed in Europe, North America and Australasia and this proportion is likely to exceed 50% of all transplants within the next decade.

Minimal listing criteria for elective liver transplantation for HCV-related ESLD are identical to other causes of chronic liver failure. In general, transplantation should be considered in any patient with significantly shortened life expectancy or severely impaired quality of life. Survival of patients with cirrhosis can be crudely predicted by the Child-Pugh score: a score ≥ 10 is generally considered appropriate indication for listing. A more objective scoring system, utilizing bilirubin, INR and serum creatinine led to the development of the model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score. This has been used to predict short-term mortality and has been adapted in North America to both reduce waiting list registrations (those with MELD < 15 are unlikely to receive a graft) and waiting list mortality (as sickest patients are now prioritized).

Other than Child-Pugh score and MELD, universally accepted specific indications for listing include diuretic-resistant ascites or hydrothorax (when patient is not suitable for transhepatic intraportal stent shunt (TIPSS)), spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, hepatorenal syndrome, hepatopulmonary syndrome, intractable encephalopathy and small HCC.

The Milan criteria for HCC (unresectable single HCC less than 5 cm, or up to three lesions less than 3 cm as determined by preoperative imaging) have been adopted in most transplant programs around the world. The UCSF group has reported similar results with expanded criteria (single HCC less than 6.5 cm, or up to three lesions with combined diameter of 8 cm).

All patients listed for HCC should undergo triphasic computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at least 12-weekly to ensure that their tumor remains within acceptable transplant criteria.

In candidates who are serum HCV RNA positive prior to transplant, recurrent HCV infection of the allograft is universal following liver transplantation and probably occurs at the time of reperfusion. Viremia levels start to rise from day 7 and most patients will develop acute hepatitis C 4-12 weeks post-transplant, accompanied by a steep rise in HCV viral load. A small number of patients (2-5%) develop severe cholestatic hepatitis, characterized by extremely high levels of viremia, and severe cholestasis. Despite anecdotal reports of rescue with antiviral therapy and immunosuppression reduction, most progress rapidly to graft failure and death. Results of re-transplantation are extremely poor.

In most patients, graft dysfunction settles spontaneously and viremia levels plateau at 1-2 log units higher than pretransplant levels. Spontaneous clearance has not been observed. Thereafter, most patients develop chronic hepatitis C in the graft. The natural history of chronic hepatitis C is accelerated in liver transplant recipients, with 10-30% progressing to allograft cirrhosis within 5 years. The outcome following decompensation of recurrent cirrhosis is extremely poor and the results of re-transplantation are disappointing.

Graft and patient survival has improved steadily in HCV negative recipients over the last decade, thanks to advances in immunosuppression, surgical techniques, intensive care and anti-infective prophylaxis. However, the opposite has been observed in HCV positive recipients and has been attributed to changes in donor quality and immunosuppression.

The rate of HCV-induced fibrosis is accelerated in recipients who receive livers from older donors, and the average age of the deceased donor has increased from under 40 years to over 50 years since 1990.

Early reports of more severe recurrence following live-donor liver transplantation were based on biochemistry rather than histology and confounded by small numbers and limited follow-up. Larger studies containing protocol biopsies over several years have observed similar rates of fibrosis and graft survival following live-donor and deceased-donor liver transplantation.

The effects of corticosteroids on recurrent hepatitis C appear complex. In transplant recipients without HCV infection, an episode of steroid-responsive rejection is associated with increased patient and graft survival. In contrast, steroid-responsive rejection is associated with reduced survival in recipients with HCV infection, suggesting an adverse effect of corticosteroids on the natural history of recurrent hepatitis C. High-dose intravenous steroid therapy for acute rejection leads to an early and massive increase in the hepatitis C viremia following liver transplantation and is associated with earlier onset and more rapid progression of recurrent hepatitis C. These observations suggest that steroid-sparing immunosuppressive protocols might be beneficial in patients with recurrent hepatitis C. To date, however, steroid-sparing protocols have failed to demonstrate benefit.

The worsening outcome for HCV observed over the past decade has also been linked to the popular practice of rapid tapering and early discontinuation of steroids early post-transplant. Maintaining patients on low-dose prednisone long-term might prevent rapid progression of recurrent hepatitis C.

Cyclosporine directly inhibits HCV replication *in vitro*, through inhibition of cyclophilin rather than calcineurin. However, this antiviral effect is weak and limited to genotype 1b. Randomized studies have not demonstrated any difference between tacrolimus and cyclosporine-treated patients in either incidence or severity of recurrent hepatitis C.

Liver transplant recipients represent a "born-to-lose" population for IFN and ribavirin. Many have already failed IFN-based therapies prior to transplant. In addition, immunosuppression is associated with HVL, reduced HCV-specific T-cell responses, and reduced first-phase viral decline following IFN, all negative baseline predictors for SVR. However, the major reason for reduced efficacy in recurrent hepatitis C following liver transplantation is the poor adherence to therapy: more than 80% of patients reduce one or both drugs, 30% withdraw completely and less than 50% will tolerate >80% dose for >80% time (so-called "adherence" rule). Ribavirin is poorly tolerated after liver transplantation. This is secondary to reduced creatinine clearance (approximately halved following liver transplantation) leading to higher ribavirin levels and increased hemolysis. Initial dosing should be reduced (e.g. 200 mg b.i.d.) and increased as tolerated; few patients will tolerate more than 400 mg b.i.d. without supportive measures such as regular erythropoietin and red cell transfusion. In addition, IFN-induced neutropenia might be aggravated by concurrent herpes virus infections (cytomegalovirus and HHV6) or myelosuppressive

effects of concurrent medications including mycophenolate, valgancyclovir and azathioprine. Strategies to improve adherence include low ascending dose regimens, regular use of GCSF and reduction of residual hypersplenism through splenic artery embolization or ligation.

Interferon has intrinsic immunostimulatory properties, including enhanced cytotoxic T-cell function and HLA class I antigens expression therapy. Thus antiviral therapy has been associated with increased rates of rejection and graft loss following renal transplantation. However, this risk appears significantly less in liver transplant recipients. Although one center has reported increased risk of chronic rejection (36%) following the use of IFN, subsequent studies have not observed an increase in allograft rejection in liver transplant recipients receiving conventional IFN plus ribavirin. Recent anecdotal reports of severe rejection during peg-IFN therapy need to be investigated further through multicenter randomized controlled studies.

In patients with HCV undergoing liver transplantation, antiviral therapy can be considered in three clinical situations. First, treatment may be considered while awaiting transplant. In patients with advanced liver disease, IFN therapy might produce immune-mediated hepatitis flares associated with decompensation and death. In a pilot study of 32 patients with Child B or C cirrhosis (mean Child-Pugh score = 12 ± 1),^[146] less than half were suitable for IFN treatment. Of the 15 who were treated, only 33% had ETVR and none achieved SVR, 85% had serious adverse events and one patient died, forcing early closure of recruitment. In a second study of patients listed for transplant but with less advanced disease (mean Child-Pugh score = 7 ± 2), a low accelerating dose regimen was better tolerated, with serious adverse events in 19% and no deaths. Thirty patients achieved SVR (24%), of whom half were delisted for improvement. Twelve patients were transplanted after successful SVR, none of whom have subsequently relapsed. Results from these and subsequent studies would support antiviral therapy for those patients with Child-Pugh score ≤ 7 , MELD score ≤ 18 , platelet count $>60\ 000$, thus limiting its use in the pretransplant setting to those patients with well compensated disease, either listed for HCC or awaiting live-donor liver transplantation.

Second, treatment can be given shortly after transplantation before the development of acute hepatitis (so called "pre-emptive" treatment). Pre-emptive treatment (to prevent recurrent hepatitis C) is complicated by poor tolerability of both IFN and ribavirin in the early post-transplant phase. Of those few patients who do qualify, results

are disappointing; less than 10% achieve SVR after 48 weeks and histological benefits are not seen. At this time, pre-emptive therapy should only be considered within the context of clinical trials.

Third, treatment can be considered after chronic hepatitis (usually >6 months) has been established in the allograft. When patients with chronic recurrent hepatitis C are treated with 48 weeks of peg-IFN plus ribavirin, SVR is achieved in 20-40% and is associated with histological improvement. Best cure rates are achieved in patients infected with HCV genotype 2 and 3 (60-70%). Currently, combination peg-IFN plus ribavirin should be considered in any patient with significant (\geq stage 2) fibrosis or with early cholestatic hepatitis C.

5.1. Consensus Statements: HCV Infection and Liver Transplantation

5.1.1. Patients with decompensated hepatitis C can be considered for antiviral treatment, provided they have Child-Pugh score ≤ 7 and MELD score ≤ 18 with a platelet count $> 60\,000$. Patients should be monitored closely by an experienced liver unit. A low ascending dose regimen should be adopted and supportive therapies to prevent variceal bleeding, infections and correct cytopenias are recommended. (II-2)

5.1.2. In patients with hepatitis C being considered for liver transplantation, minimal listing criteria should be identical to those for other primary liver diseases. (II-2)

5.1.3. Following liver transplantation, pre-emptive treatment post-transplant to prevent recurrent hepatitis C (<6 months post-transplant) should only be within clinical trials. (II-2)

5.1.4. Treatment of established recurrence (>6 months post-transplant) should be considered in those with severe disease. The preferred regime is at least 48 weeks peg-IFN plus ribavirin. (I)

5.1.5. Over-immunosuppression should be avoided in the early post-transplant period. (II-2)

5.1.6. Rapid steroid withdrawal should also be avoided in the later post-transplant period. (III)

5.2. HCV Infection in End-stage Renal Disease^[148-170]

HCV-associated renal disease might occur in about 10% of patients with chronic HCV infection. There are three principal types of renal diseases that have been recognized: mixed cryoglobulinemia, membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis and membranous nephropathy. These disorders can occur both in native kidneys and in renal allografts. There is increased prevalence in African-Americans, Asian men and patients with HVL. All patients with mixed cryoglobulinemia, membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis and membranous nephropathy should be evaluated for possible underlying HCV infection. Most HCV infected patients with nephropathy will have evidence of liver disease as reflected by elevated serum aminotransferase concentrations. However, serum aminotransferases are normal in some cases and a history of acute hepatitis is often absent. Combined IFN- α and ribavirin is still the mainstay of treatment; however, ribavirin dosage needs adjustment if the creatinine clearance is less than 50 mL/minute. Peg-IFN plus ribavirin can be used in most patients with HCV-induced renal disease in view of the convenience and improved rate of SVR with this regime in patients with chronic HCV infection. However, this recommendation can only be applied to patients with normal or near-normal renal function.

Once patients are on dialysis support therapies, particularly hemodialysis, they are at risk of acquiring HCV infection. Since the introduction of erythropoietin and screening of blood products for anti-HCV, the risk of acquiring post-transfusion HCV infection has declined to less than 1 per 3000 units of blood products transfused. However, HCV infection still does occur. The duration of dialysis is a risk factor in acquiring HCV infection. Among units with an anti-HCV prevalence of <19%, the annual incidence of anti-HCV seroconversion is 2.5%. By comparison, in units with a prevalence of >60% the annual incidence of seroconversion is 35.3%. The data suggest that the hemodialysis machines themselves do not have a significant role in the nosocomial transmission of HCV. It is likely that HCV transmission in hemodialysis settings principally results from a breakdown of universal infection control guidelines resulting in horizontal (patient-to-patient or staff-to-patient) transmission.

The safety of peg-IFN in moderately advanced renal failure is yet to be confirmed. Concerns largely revolve around its long half-life. Likewise ribavirin and its metabolites are not removed by dialysis therapies. Thus ribavirin therapy in patients with advanced renal failure results in

profound and prolonged hemolytic anemia. Until data that demonstrate efficacy and safety are available, peg-IFN or ribavirin cannot be recommended in patients with end-stage renal disease unless they are enrolled in a clinical trial.

There are, however, data on the use of standard IFN monotherapy. Two meta-analyses have been performed showing that IFN therapy alone is associated with an SVR of 32-36%. In five of the studies, the standard regime of subcutaneous IFN administration 3 million units three times weekly for 24 weeks was associated with an SVR of nearly 40%. In addition, IFN- α therapy is advisable for HCV infected dialysis patients who are candidates for renal transplantation. A regime of 3 million units three times weekly for 12 months appears to be safe and effective in inducing biochemical and virological responses.

Liver disease following renal transplantation occurs among 19-64% of recipients with HCV infection compared to only 1-30% among recipients without HCV infection. An unusual form of liver disease with severe cholestasis and rapidly progressive liver failure has been described in a few patients with HCV infection who undergo renal transplantation. To better assess the effects of HCV infection on outcome of post-transplantation, a meta-analysis was performed of eight observational studies that included 6365 patients. The presence of anti-HCV antibodies increased the relative risk for death by 1.79 (95% confidence interval 1.7-2.3). A major stumbling block to the use of IFN- α in renal transplant recipients has been the onset of acute rejection with IFN treatment. Thus post-transplant IFN is not recommended because of serious risks of rejection and allograft loss.

5.2. Consensus Statements: HCV and End-stage Renal Disease

5.2.1. Renal dialysis confers a significant risk of nosocomial infection. Thus standard precautions for prevention of nosocomial infections must be rigorously observed. (II-2)

5.2.2. Regular screening of dialysis patients with serology and PCR should be performed. (II-2)

5.2.3. Regular serological screening of dialysis staff is indicated. (II-2)

5.2.4. In patients with chronic HCV infection on dialysis, liver biopsy is

not mandatory but is recommended especially when results would influence treatment decisions. (II-2)

5.2.5. IFN monotherapy for patients with chronic HCV infection and end-stage renal disease on maintenance dialysis is recommended. (II-1)

5.2.6. Use of peg-IFN or ribavirin for patients with chronic HCV infection and end-stage renal disease on maintenance dialysis is not recommended outside clinical trials. (II-1)

5.2.7. IFN therapies are contraindicated post-renal transplant. (II-2)

5.3. HCV Infection in Thalassemia and Hemophilia^[171-193]

Blood transfusion has historically been one of the main sources of HCV transmission globally, and still remains so in many parts of the world where blood is not adequately screened. It is therefore no surprise that patients with transfusion-dependant blood disorders are at high risk of acquiring HCV infection. Moreover, with improved management of chronic blood disorders, concurrent problems such as chronic HCV infection can now cause greater morbidity and mortality in these patients than the original disease.

Worldwide, the carriers of hemoglobinopathies are estimated to total 269 million and most of them live in the Asia. β -Thalassemia has a gene frequency of >1% in India, South-East Asia and parts of Far East Asia, which means that nearly 80 000 infants with hemoglobinopathies are born each year in the region. The prevalence of HCV infection in patients with thalassemia in Asia is high and varies from 20% in Thailand to 64% in Iran. Patients are generally infected with HCV during the first 10 years of life and persistence of HCV infection is favored by iron overload and various immune abnormalities underlying susceptibility to infections.

HCV infection and iron overload might act as synergistic risk factors for the development of liver cirrhosis and HCC. In patients with thalassemia who were followed up after bone marrow transplantation with serial liver biopsies, 22% showed progressive fibrosis with mean time to progression of 51 months. The probability of fibrosis progression-free survival was significantly lower in patients who were

HCV positive and had high liver iron content. More significantly, the development of HCC in patients with chronic HCV infection might occur at a much earlier age in those with thalassemia compared to those without. Clearly the treatment of chronic HCV in these patients has become imperative, along with the management of iron overload.

The standard treatment of chronic HCV infection is the combination of IFN and ribavirin. However, according to earlier guidelines, the use of ribavirin has been thought to be contraindicated in thalassemic patients because hemolysis is a side-effect. Thus there has been concern that combination therapy would result in a further increase in liver iron burden and exacerbate liver damage. Earlier treatment series have therefore reported the use of IFN monotherapy. These have generally been small non-randomized studies that have used standard IFN 3 million units three times weekly for 6-18 months. In general, the SVR has been higher the longer the duration of therapy (45% with 12 months and up to 77% with 18 months of therapy).

More recently, there have been case series of combination therapy and one randomized trial comparing monotherapy with peg-IFN to combination therapy with ribavirin. The combination arm showed a somewhat better SVR but there was an increase in blood transfusion requirements in the combination therapy group, with a transfusion required every 3-4 weeks to maintain hemoglobin of 9-10 mg/dL.

As iron overload is an independent predictor of progression of liver fibrosis in patients with thalassemia, it is also imperative that adequate and vigorous iron chelation therapy is provided. However, whether iron depletion improves the response of HCV infection to IFN therapy is still a matter of debate, with a number of randomized trials showing no improvement of SVR with iron depletion in those without thalassemia.

Finally, with the advent of bone marrow transplantation for the treatment of thalassemia, it has become opportune to consider the optimal timing for HCV treatment. In general, these patients are able to discontinue immunosuppression 1 year after receiving a bone marrow transplant. Treatment for HCV should be considered only after patients have been off all immunosuppression therapy for 6 months and when there is no evidence of graft versus host disease or myelosuppression.

Due to the frequent requirements of pooled plasma and blood transfusions, patients with hemophilia remain at a high risk of blood

borne viral infections, particularly in developing areas of the world where screening of blood and blood products might not be adequate. The prevalence of HCV in patients with hemophilia has been reported to be as high as 70-95%. It seems that the natural history of chronic HCV infection and the potential for progressive disease is similar in patients with and without hemophilia. However, with major improvements in the management of hemophilia, infections such as HCV and HIV have become major causes of mortality. It has therefore become imperative to treat these infections in a timely and appropriate manner.

Liver biopsy is valuable in determining prognosis and guiding antiviral therapy in patients with chronic HCV infection and has been considered previously to be a prerequisite for HCV treatment, although more recent guidelines have been less insistent on a pretreatment liver biopsy. There has been an obvious reluctance to perform liver biopsy in patients with hemophilia because of the risk of bleeding and hemorrhage. However, a large body of experience indicates that a percutaneous liver biopsy is safe to perform in these patients provided enough clotting factor concentrate is administered to achieve a level of 1 IU/mL (100%) 1 day before the procedure and 50% coverage through post-procedure day 3. Alternatively, a transjugular liver biopsy or non-invasive markers of liver fibrosis have been used to assess liver histology.

Results of IFN monotherapy in patients with hemophilia have been generally disappointing, with an SVR of 7-13%, increasing to around 40% with higher doses of IFN and longer duration of therapy. Combination therapy trials, however, have showed improved results, with SVR rates of nearly 60% in the more recent studies. As an additional benefit, ribavirin has been shown to elevate the activity of clotting factor VII in patients with hemophilia through an unknown mechanism, with decreased spontaneous bleeding.

5.3. Consensus Statements: HCV Infection in Thalassaemia and Hemophilia

- 5.3.1. Patients with thalassaemia or hemophilia who have chronic HCV infection should be considered for antiviral treatment. (I)
- 5.3.2. In patients with thalassaemia it is uncertain whether peg-IFN monotherapy or combination therapy with ribavirin is the best option. (II-2)

5.3.3. Following bone marrow transplantation, treatment for HCV in thalassemia should be considered after immunosuppression therapy has been stopped. (II-2)

5.3.4. The value of a liver biopsy in patients with hemophilia is uncertain. If considered necessary, liver biopsy should only be performed by experienced operators. (II-2)

5.4. HCV Infection in Children^[194-208]

The prevalence of HCV infection in children is low (<3%) in several large studies from the Asia-Pacific region. Most new HCV infections in children are perinatally acquired with a risk of around 5%. This risk is increased if the mother has HIV; however, neither elective caesarean section nor breast feeding increase the rate of transmission. The majority of children with HCV infection are clinically well with normal or mildly elevated transaminases. The natural history of HCV in children is that of a very slowly progressive, but probably irregular fibrotic process. Cirrhosis, liver failure and liver transplantation have been reported in childhood, but these events are rare.

The diagnosis of perinatally acquired HCV requires a positive anti-HCV test after 18 months of age. HCV PCR might not detect HCV infection at birth. However, the specificity of HCV PCR is 98% from birth and hence the positive predictive value of PCR is high. As in adults, HCV PCR might be intermittently negative. Although there is no evidence that antiviral treatment is detrimental in children, it is not generally available. However, children might be offered antiviral treatment in countries wherever this is available on a compassionate use basis. Small studies using a combination of peg-IFN- α 2a or 2b together with ribavirin have shown excellent SVR of 100% in genotype 2 and 3 and approximately 45% in genotype 1. As it is not possible to predict which children will develop significant fibrosis or cirrhosis during childhood, all children with HCV should be reviewed every 6 to 12 months.

5.4. Consensus Statements: HCV Infection in Children

5.4.1. The diagnosis of perinatally acquired infection should be done by anti-HCV assay when the infant is older than 18 months of age. (II-2)

5.4.2. If the child is younger than 18 months of age, PCR and liver function tests might be done after the age of 2 months. The positive predictive value of PCR is high, but if negative, serology must be repeated at 15 months of age to ensure the child does not have HCV. (II-2)

5.4.3. In the absence of rapid disease progression, treatment could be offered to children older than 2 years of age but preferably in a trial setting. (II-2)

5.4.4. Preliminary data indicate that response rates in children to peg-IFN and ribavirin are as good as, and possibly better than, those seen in adults. The additive effect of ribavirin in children is under evaluation. (II-2)

5.5. HCV and HIV Coinfection^[209-216]

The prevalence of HIV among HCV-positive patients varies according to distribution of HCV risk categories and presence of HIV prevention strategies. Highest HIV/HCV coinfection prevalence is seen in settings where IDU is the major HCV risk factor and harm reduction strategies such as needle and syringe programs are unavailable. Hepatitis C has a limited impact on HIV disease progression. Conversely HIV alters the natural history of hepatitis C in several important areas: (i) progression to chronic hepatitis C is increased; (ii) levels of HCV viral load are higher; (iii) rates of progression to cirrhosis and ESLD are increased two- and six-fold, respectively; (iv) HCC occurs at a younger age; and (v) the risk of antiretroviral related hepatotoxicity is increased up to threefold in HIV/HCV coinfecting patients (the risk is particularly high in those receiving nevirapine containing regimes)

Liver disease is an increasing component of HIV-related morbidity and mortality. Maintenance or restoration of immune function through highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) reduces the impact of HIV on hepatitis C natural history, in particular progression to cirrhosis and ESLD. SVR rates following peg-IFN and ribavirin therapy in HIV/HCV coinfecting patients are 15-20% lower than in HCV mono-infection, but predictors of treatment response are largely hepatitis C factors: genotype, HCV viral load, and liver disease stage. Rates of hepatic decompensation in HIV/HCV coinfecting patients during hepatitis C

treatment are considerably higher than in HCV monoinfected patients, with patients with cirrhosis at particularly high risk.

5.5. Consensus Statements: HCV and HIV Coinfection

5.5.1. Routine screening is recommended for HIV in patients with hepatitis C following exposure risk assessment and pretest counseling.

5.5.2. HIV/HCV coinfecting patients with advanced HIV disease (CD4 count $<100/\text{mm}^3$) should receive HAART with HCV treatment delayed until immune function is improved, preferably to a CD4 count above $200/\text{mm}^3$. (I)

5.5.3. Antiretroviral therapy naïve HIV/HCV coinfecting patients with a CD4 count $100\text{-}350/\text{mm}^3$ should commence HAART prior to HCV treatment (I)

5.5.4. HIV/HCV coinfecting patients with a CD4 count $>350/\text{mm}^3$ should be considered for HCV treatment and do not require HAART. (I)

5.5.5. Peg-IFN and ribavirin combination therapy for 48 weeks is the recommended HCV treatment; weight-based ribavirin dosing should be considered for HCV genotype 1 patients. (I)

5.5.6. Deferral of HCV treatment should be considered in HIV/HCV coinfecting patients with HCV genotype 1 and HVL ($>800\ 000\ \text{IU/mL}$) if early liver disease (F0/1) is demonstrated on liver biopsy. (I)

5.5.7. There is insufficient evidence to support HCV treatment of patients with persistently normal ALT levels, but treatment could be considered in those with moderate or severe fibrosis. (II-2)

5.5.8. Didanosine should be avoided during HCV treatment due to the increased risk of hyperlactemia and hepatic decompensation. (II-1)

5.6. HCV and HBV Coinfection^[217-250]

It is not clear how many patients are coinfecting with HCV and HBV worldwide; however, the prevalence of dual infection with both viruses seems low among a randomly selected healthy population. It is

reported that among anti-HCV positive patients, at least 2% are also positive for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), whereas in HBsAg positive patients the prevalence of anti-HCV is 3-20% in the Asia-Pacific region. Coinfection with both viruses is thought to be associated with mutual inhibition of viral replication. It is well described that HCV infection suppresses HBV replication both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The overall dominant effect appears to be HCV suppression of HBV. However, liver disease is worsened in coinfection. In general fibrotic disease progression in dually infected patients is faster than that in mono-infected patients. The progression to cirrhosis and decompensated liver disease is accelerated and the relative risk of developing HCC is also increased.

5.6. Consensus Statements: HCV and HBV Coinfection

5.6.1. It is recommended to routinely screen for HBsAg in patients with chronic HCV infection, especially in IDU or other high risk populations.

5.6.2. It is not justified to recommend routine measurement of serum HBV DNA in HBsAg negative patients with chronic HCV infection.

5.6.3. HCC screening tests including liver ultrasound and α -fetoprotein should be done for coinfecting patients.

5.6.4. HBV and HCV coinfecting patients may be selected for antiviral treatment by the same criteria as those with mono-infection.

5.6.5. It is helpful to determine which virus is dominant in patients with dual infection before treatment.

5.6.6. In patients who are anti-HCV and HBsAg positive and HCV PCR positive, peg-IFN- α combined with ribavirin is recommended.

5.6.7. For patients who are anti-HCV and HBsAg positive but HCV PCR negative with detectable serum HBV DNA at significant levels, peg-IFN- α or nucleos(t)ide analogs or both can be used.

5.6.8. HBV vaccination should be offered for hepatitis C patients who are HBsAg negative.

5.7. HCV Infection and Extrahepatic Manifestations^[251-277]

It is recognized that some patients with chronic HCV infection might suffer from extrahepatic illnesses with the spectrum varying from fatigue to permanent organ damage. HCV can replicate in mononuclear cells and other tissues but to determine replication itself might be problematic and requires special techniques such as detection of negative-strand HCV RNA or strand specific RT-PCR through the use of recombinant *Tth* enzyme. Extrahepatic diseases in HCV infection are thought to be mediated with by immune complexes (e.g. mixed cryoglobulinemia, glomerulonephritis, cutaneous vasculitis and neuropathy) or by direct immune stimulation. (e.g. lymphoproliferative disorders and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL)). Some symptoms, such as depression, fatigue and porphyria cutanea tarda (PCT), occur by undefined mechanisms.

In mixed cryoglobulinemia, immunoglobulin complexes formed by HCV RNA and rheumatoid factor precipitate in the walls of small and medium blood vessels and produce lesions similar to leukocytoclastic vasculitis. The prevalence of cryoglobulinemia in chronic HCV infection is 40-44% but only about 10% of cryoglobulinemia is symptomatic. Many studies have revealed the pathogenic importance of HCV itself in these illnesses by showing resolution following an SVR as a result of antiviral treatment.

In some patients, HCV-associated vasculitis might be life-threatening due to severe peripheral vascular disease, gastrointestinal ischemia and central nervous system involvement. In such situations the use of anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody (ritiximab) therapy with or without plasmapheresis has been effective.

HCV-associated neuropathy seems to result from immune complex deposition within the vasa nervorum of the peripheral nerves. This might affect up to 50% of patients with chronic HCV infection. Patients usually present with painful symmetrical distal polyneuropathy, which can be progressive. The illness might respond well following plasmapheresis or antiviral therapy.

Low grade B-cell NHL with predominantly extranodal tissue involvement, such as liver, spleen, salivary glands and stomach, is reported to be more common in patients with chronic HCV infection. HCV might trigger B-cell proliferation and cause high-grade lymphoma. Although there are no definite treatment options, remission has been described after HCV eradication in some subsets of splenic and gastric

mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma. Screening for HCV infection in all patients with splenic lymphomas and other types of low grade B-cell NHL is strongly recommended.

Nearly 50% of patients with PCT have chronic HCV infection. The prevalence is reported to be as high as 60% in southern Europe and Japan. The mechanism of PCT in this setting is unclear. It has been postulated that an imbalance of oxidative stress within hepatocytes and interference of uroporphyrin metabolism might be responsible. The treatment includes cessation of precipitation factors (alcohol, hormone, immune) followed by antiviral treatment.

Some studies have revealed associations between urticaria, cutaneous polyarthritides nodosa and cutaneous lichen planus in chronic HCV infection but with no proven mechanisms. Arthralgia and myalgia has been reported to be found in 20% of patients with chronic HCV infection. Arthritis is usually characterized by symmetrical small joint involvement.

Fatigue might be the most common extrahepatic manifestation of chronic HCV infection, with up to an 80% prevalence. Risk factors include female sex, age >50 years and cirrhosis but there is no correlation with viral load and genotype. Improvement of fatigue and quality of life are usually found in patients with SVR.

The basis for depression and other psychological symptoms in HCV infection has been difficult to determine but it remains intriguing that some investigators have found evidence of HCV infection in the central nervous system with the characterization of quasispecies that differ from liver and peripheral blood compartments.

5.7. Consensus Statements: HCV Infection and Extrahepatic Manifestations

5.7.1. Patients with symptomatic mixed cryoglobulinemia, glomerulonephritis, neuropathy or vasculitis should be screened for HCV infection and considered for standard antiviral treatment if positive. (II-2)

5.7.2. Patients with glomerulonephritis and impaired renal function (glomerular filtration rate < 50 mL/min) should be treated with IFN monotherapy. (II-2)

5.7.3. Patients with low grade B-cell NHL, MALT lymphoma and splenic lymphoma should be screened for HCV infection as antiviral therapy might induce remission. (II-2)

5.7.4. Patients with life-threatening vasculitis and organ failure can be considered for anti-B-cell therapy (e.g. ritiximab, plasmapheresis and cyclophosphamide). (II-3)

References

1. Ferreira-Gonzalez A, Shiffman ML. Use of diagnostic testing for managing hepatitis C virus infection. *Semin. Liver Dis.* 2004; 24 (Suppl. 2): 9-18.
2. Forns X, Costa J. HCV virological assessment. *J. Hepatol.* 2006; 44: S35-9.
3. Simmonds P, Bukh J, Combet C *et al.* Consensus proposals for a unified system of nomenclature of hepatitis C viral genotypes. *Hepatology* 2005; 42: 962-73.
4. Chevaliez S, Pawlotsky J-M. Use of virologic assays in the diagnosis and management of hepatitis C virus infection. *Clin. Liver Dis.* 2005; 9: 371-82.
5. Bowden DS, Berzsenyi MD. Chronic hepatitis C virus infection: genotyping and its clinical role. *Future Microbiol.* 2006; 1: 103-12.
6. Saldanha J, Lelie N, Heath A, WHO Collaborative Study Group. Establishment of the first International Standard for nucleic acid amplification technology (NAT) assays for HCV RNA. *Vox Sang.* 1999; 76: 149-58.
7. Laperche S, Elghouzzi MH, Morel P *et al.* Is an assay for simultaneous detection of hepatitis C virus core antigen and antibody a valuable alternative to nucleic acid testing? *Transfusion* 2005; 45: 1965-72.
8. Alter MJ, Kuhnert WL, Finelli L; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for laboratory testing and result reporting of antibody to hepatitis C virus. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *MMWR Recomm. Rep.* 2003; 52: 1-13.
9. National Institutes of Health. Consensus Development Conference Statement. Management of hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2002; 36 (Suppl. 1): S1-252.
10. World Health Organization. Global surveillance and control of hepatitis C. Report of a WHO Consultation organized in collaboration with the Viral Hepatitis Prevention Board, Antwerp, Belgium. *J. Viral Hepat.* 1999; 6: 35-47.
11. Kaldor JM, Dore GJ, Correll PKL. Public health challenges in hepatitis C virus infection. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2000; 15 (Suppl.): E83-90.
12. Kao J-H, Chen D-S. Transmission of hepatitis C virus in Asia: past and present perspectives. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2000; 15 (Suppl.): E91-6.
13. Tanaka E, Kiyosawa K. Natural history of acute hepatitis C. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2000; 15 (Suppl.): E97-104.
14. Amarapurkar D. Natural history of hepatitis C virus infection. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2000; 15 (Suppl.): E105-10.
15. Roberts EA, Yeung L. Maternal-infant transmission of hepatitis C virus infection. *Hepatology* 2002; 36: S106-13.

16. European Paediatric Hepatitis C Virus Network. Three broad modalities in the natural history of vertically acquired hepatitis C virus infection. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2005; 41: 45-51.
17. Seeff LB. Natural history of chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2002; 36 (Suppl. 1): S35-46.
18. Micallef JM, Kaldor JM, Dore GJ. Spontaneous viral clearance following acute hepatitis C infection: a systematic review of longitudinal studies. *J. Viral Hepat.* 2006; 13: 34-41.
19. McCaughan GW, McGuinness PH, Bishop GA *et al.* Clinical assessment and incidence of hepatitis C RNA in 50 consecutive RIBA-positive volunteer blood donors. *Med. J. Aust.* 1992; 157: 231-3.
20. Alter HJ, Seeff LB. Recovery, persistence, and sequelae in hepatitis C virus infection: a perspective on long-term outcome *Semin. Liver Dis.* 2000; 20: 17-35.
21. Thimme R, Oldach D, Chang KM, Steiger C, Ray SC, Chisari FV. Determinants of viral clearance and persistence during acute hepatitis C virus infection. *J. Exp. Med.* 2001; 194: 1395-406.
22. Farci P, Alter HJ, Wong D *et al.* A long-term study of hepatitis C virus replication in non-A, non-B hepatitis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1991; 352: 98-104.
23. Farci P, Alter HJ, Shimoda A *et al.* Hepatitis C virus associated fulminant hepatic failure. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1996; 335: 631-4.
24. Heller T, Rehmann B. Acute hepatitis C: a multifaceted disease. *Semin. Liver Dis.* 2005; 25: 7-17.
25. Chen SL, Morgan TR. The natural history of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* 2006; 3: 47-52.
26. Feld JJ, Lian TJ. Hepatitis C—identifying patients with progressive liver injury. *Hepatology* 2006; 43: S194-206.
27. Alberti A. Towards more individualised management of hepatitis C virus patients with initially or persistently normal alanine aminotransferase levels. *J. Hepatol.* 2005; 42: 266-74.
28. Yano M, Kumada H, Kage M *et al.* The long term pathological evolution of chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 1996; 23: 1334-40.
29. Poynard T, Ratziu V, Charlotte F, Goodman Z, McHutchison J, Albrecht J. Rates and risk factors of liver fibrosis progression in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *J. Hepatol.* 2001; 14: 764-7.
30. Alberti A. What is mild chronic hepatitis C? *Hepatol. Rev.* 2005; 2: 19-23.
31. Serfaty L, Aumaitre H, Chazouilleres O *et al.* Determinants of outcome of compensated hepatitis C virus-related cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 1998; 27: 1435-40.
32. Strader DB, Seeff LB. The natural history of chronic hepatitis C infection. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 1996; 8: 324-8.
33. Seeff LB, Hoofnagle JH. National Institutes of Health consensus development conference: management of hepatitis C: 2002. *Hepatology* 2002; 36 (Suppl. 1): S1-2.
34. Strader DB, Wright T, Thomas DL, Seeff LB. Diagnosis management and treatment of hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2004; 39: 1148-71.
35. Shiratori Y, Imazeki F, Moriyama M *et al.* Histologic improvement of fibrosis in patients with hepatitis C who have sustained response to interferon therapy. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 2000; 132: 517-24.
36. Poynard T, McHutchison J, Manns M *et al.* Impact of pegylated interferon alfa-2b and ribavirin on liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 2002; 122: 1303-13.

37. Omata M, Shiratori Y. Long-term effects of interferon therapy on histology and development of hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis C. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2000; 15 (Suppl.): E134-40.
38. Yoshida H, Shiratori Y, Moriyama M *et al.* Interferon therapy reduces the risk for hepatocellular carcinoma: national surveillance program in Japan of cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 1999; 131: 174-81.
39. Ware JE Jr, Bayliss MS, Mannocchia M, Davis GL, the International Hepatitis Interventional Therapy Group. Health-related quality of life in chronic hepatitis C. Impact of disease and treatment response. *Hepatology* 1999; 30: 550-5.
40. Farrell GC. Consensus among consensus conferences on management of hepatitis C: what we knew then and are still sure about, what we are newly sure about and what we still need to know. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2000; 15 (Suppl.): E126-9.
41. Regev A, Berho M, Jeffers LJ *et al.* Sampling error and intraobserver variation in liver biopsy in patients with chronic HCV infection. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 2002; 97: 2614-18.
42. Fontana RJ, Lok AS. Noninvasive monitoring of patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2002; 36 (Suppl. 1): S57-64.
43. Afdhal N. The natural history of hepatitis C. *Semin. Liver Dis.* 2004; 24 (Suppl. 2): 3-8.
44. Gerlach JT, Diepolder HM, Zachoval R *et al.* Acute hepatitis C: high rate of both spontaneous and treatment induced viral clearance. *Gastroenterology* 2003; 125: 80-8.
45. Lechmann M, Meyer MF, Monazahian M *et al.* High rate of spontaneous clearance of acute hepatitis C virus genotype 3 infection. *J. Med. Virol.* 2004; 73: 387-91.
46. Nomura H, Sou S, Tanimoto H *et al.* Short term interferon a therapy for acute hepatitis C: a randomised controlled trial. *Hepatology* 2004; 39: 1213-19.
47. Jaeckel E, Cornberg M, Wedemeyer MD *et al.* Treatment of acute hepatitis C with interferon- α 2b. *New Engl. J. Med.* 2001; 345: 1452-7.
48. Delwaide J, Bourgeois N, Gerard C *et al.* Treatment of interferon with interferon- α 2b: early initiation of treatment is the most effective predictive factor of sustained viral response. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2004; 20: 15-22.
49. Santantonio T, Fasano M, Sinisi E *et al.* Efficacy of a 24-week course of peg-interferon α 2b monotherapy in patients with acute hepatitis C after failure of spontaneous clearance. *J. Hepatol.* 2005; 42: 329-33.
50. Kamal SM, Fouly AE, Kamel RR *et al.* Peginterferon α 2b therapy in acute hepatitis C: impact of onset of therapy on sustained virologic response. *Gastroenterology* 2006; 130: 632-8.
51. Kamal SM, Madwar MA, Ismail A *et al.* Peginterferon alpha compared to conventional interferon alpha plus ribavirin combination therapy in symptomatic acute hepatitis C: a randomized trial of treatment onset, duration and cost effectiveness. *Hepatology.* 2004; 37 (Suppl.): 178A (Abstract 37).
52. Roca P, Bailly F, Chevallier M *et al.* Early treatment of acute hepatitis C with interferon α 2b or interferon α 2b plus ribavirin: study of 16 patients. *Gastroenterol. Clin. Biol.* 2003; 27: 294-9.
53. Kamal SM, Ismail A, Graham CS *et al.* Pegylated interferon α therapy in acute hepatitis C: relation to hepatitis C virus-specific T cell response kinetics. *Hepatology* 2004; 39: 1721-31.

54. Kamal SM, Moustefa KN, Chen J *et al.* Duration of peginterferon therapy in acute hepatitis C: a randomized trial. *Hepatology* 2006; 43: 923-31.
55. Vedemeyer H, Jackel E, Wiegand J *et al.* Whom, when, how? Another piece of evidence for early treatment of acute hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2004; 39: 1201-3.
56. Poynard T, McHutchison J, Goodman Z, Ling M-H, Albrecht J. Is an 'a la carte' combination interferon alfa2b plus ribavirin regimen possible for the first line treatment in patients with chronic hepatitis C? *Hepatology* 2000; 31: 211-18.
57. Shiratori Y, Omata M. Predictors of the efficacy of interferon therapy for patients with chronic hepatitis C before and during therapy: how does this modify the treatment course? *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2000; 15 (Suppl.): E141-51.
58. Zeuzem S, Diago M, Gane E *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2a and ribavirin in patients with chronic hepatitis C and normal aminotransferase levels. *Gastroenterology* 2005; 128: 1531-2.
59. Darling JM, Fried MW. Optimizing treatment regimens in hepatitis C. *Clin. Liver Dis.* 2006; 10: 835-50.
60. Manns MP, McHutchison JG, Gordon SC *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin compared with interferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin for initial treatment of chronic hepatitis C: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2001; 358: 958-65.
61. Fried MW, Shiffman ML, Reddy KR *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2002; 347: 975-82.
62. Hadziyannis SJ, Sette H, Morgan TR *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2a (40 kilodaltons) and ribavirin combination therapy in chronic hepatitis C: randomized study of the effect of treatment duration and ribavirin dose. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 2004; 140: 346-55.
63. Zeuzem S, Buti M, Ferenci P *et al.* Efficacy of 24 weeks treatment with peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin in patients with chronic hepatitis C infected with genotype 1 and low pretreatment viremia. *J. Hepatol.* 2006; 44: 97-103.
64. Zeuzem S, Hultcrantz R, Bourliere M *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin for treatment of chronic hepatitis C in previously untreated patients infected with HCV genotypes 2 or 3. *J. Hepatol.* 2004; 40: 993-9.
65. Mangia A, Santoro R, Minerva N *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2b and ribavirin for 12 vs. 24 weeks HCV genotype 2 or 3. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2005; 352: 2609-17.
66. Dalgard O, Bjørø K, Hellum KB *et al.* Treatment with pegylated interferon and ribavirin in HCV infection with genotype 2 or 3 for 14 weeks: a pilot study. *Hepatology* 2004; 40: 1260-5.
67. Chutaputti A. Adverse effects and other safety aspects of hepatitis C antivirals. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2000; 15 (Suppl.): E156-63.
68. Chow WC. Hepatitis C: retreatment and treatment of patients with renal failure. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2000; 15 (Suppl.): E152-5.
69. Jacobsen IM, Ahmed F, Russo MW *et al.* Pegylated interferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin in patients with chronic hepatitis C: a trial in non-responders to interferon monotherapy or combination therapy and in combination therapy relapsers: final results [Abstract]. *Gastroenterology* 2003; 124: A540.
70. Shiffman ML, Di Bisceglie AM, Lindsay KL *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2a and ribavirin in patients with chronic hepatitis C who failed prior treatment. *Gastroenterology* 2004; 126: 1015-23.
71. Davis GL, Esteban-Mur R, Rustgi V *et al.* Interferon alfa-2b alone or in combination with ribavirin for the treatment of relapse of chronic hepatitis C. International Hepatitis Interventional Therapy Group. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1998; 339: 1493-9.

72. Nishiguchi S, Kuroki T, Nakatani S. Randomised trial of effects of interferon on the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic active hepatitis C with cirrhosis. *Lancet* 1995; 346: 1051-5.
73. Sarin SK. What should we advise about adjunctive therapies, including herbal medicines for chronic hepatitis C? *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2000; 15 (Suppl.): E164-71.
74. Sherman KE, Sjogren M, Creager RL *et al.* Combination therapy with thymosin alpha and interferon for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C infection; a randomized, placebo controlled double-blind trial. *Hepatology* 1998; 27: 1128-35.
75. Armstrong G, Alter M, McQuillan G, Margolis H. The past incidence of HCV: implications for the future burden of chronic liver disease in the United States. *Hepatology* 2000; 31: 777-82.
76. Kim W, Brown R, Terrault N, El-Serag H. Burden of liver disease in the United States. *Hepatology* 2002; 36: 227-42.
77. El Serag H, Mason A. Risk factors for rising rates of primary liver cancer in the United States. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 2000;160: 3227-30.
78. Kim W. The burden of hepatitis C in the United States. *Hepatology* 2002; 36 (Suppl. 1): S30-4.
79. Australian and New Zealand Liver Transplant Registry (ANZLTR). *ANZLTR 16th Annual Report*. Brisbane: ANZLTR, 2004. Available from URL: <http://www.anzltr.org>
80. Propst A, Propst T, Zangerl G, Ofner D, Judmaier G, Vogel W. Prognosis and life expectancy in chronic liver disease. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 1995; 40: 1805-15.
81. Gines P, Quintero E, Arroyo V. Compensated cirrhosis: natural history and prognostic factors. *Hepatology* 1987; 7: 122-8.
82. Lucey M, Brown K, Everson G *et al.* Minimal criteria for placement of adults on the liver transplant waiting list: a report of a national conference organized by the American Society of Transplant Physicians and the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Liver Transpl. Surg.* 1997; 3: 628-37.
83. Devlin J, O'Grady J. Indications for referral and assessment in adult liver transplantation: a clinical guideline. *British Society of Gastroenterology. Gut* 1999; 45 (Suppl. 6): VI1-22.
84. Carithers R. AASLD listing criteria for liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2000; 6: 122-135.
85. Consensus Conference. Indications for liver transplantation: text of recommendations (long version). *Liver Transpl.* 2006; 12: 998-1011.
86. Mazzaferro V, Regalia E, Doci R *et al.* Liver transplantation for the treatment of small hepatocellular carcinomas in patients with cirrhosis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1996; 334: 693-9.
87. McPeake JR, O'Grady JG, Portmann B *et al.* Liver transplantation for primary hepatocellular carcinoma: tumour size and number determine outcome. *J. Hepatol.* 1993; 18: 226-34.
88. Bismuth H, Chiche L, Adam R, Castaing D, Diamond T, Dennison A. Liver resection versus transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients. *Ann. Surg.* 1993; 218: 145-51.
89. Haug CE, Jenkins RL, Rohrer RJ *et al.* Liver transplantation for primary hepatic cancer. *Transplantation* 1992; 53: 376-3.
90. Porayko M, Wiesner R. Management of ascites in patients with cirrhosis: what to do when diuretics fail. *Postgrad. Med.* 1992; 92: 155-66.
91. Riordan SM, Williams R. Treatment of hepatic encephalopathy. *N. Eng J. Med.* 1997; 337: 473-9.

92. Andreu M, Sola R, Sitges-Serra A. Risk factors for spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in cirrhotic patients with ascites. *Gastroenterology* 1993; 104: 1133-8.
93. Kim W, Tyherneau T, Evans R, Steers J, Wiesner R, Dickson E. Can resource utilisation and quality of life post-transplant be predicted by perioperative variables? *Hepatology* 1996; 24:301A.
94. Selberg O, Bottcher J, Tusch G, Pichlmayr R, Henkel E, Muller M. Identification of high and low risk patients before liver transplantation: a prospective cohort study of nutritional and ametabolic parameters in 150 patients. *Hepatology* 1997; 25: 652-7.
95. Krowka M, Cortese D. Hepatopulmonary syndrome: current concepts in diagnostic and therapeutic considerations. *Chest* 1994; 105: 1528-37.
96. Brown J, Lake J, Ascher N, Emond J, Roberts J. Predictors of cost of liver transplantation. *Hepatology* 1996; 24: 299A.
97. Gines A, Escorsell A, Gines P. Incidence, predictive factors and prognosis of the hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis with ascites. *Gastroenterology* 1993; 105: 229-36.
98. Brown R, Lombardero M, Lake J. Outcome of patients with renal insufficiency undergoing liver or liver-kidney transplantation. *Transplantation* 1996; 62: 1788-92.
99. Yao F, Xiao L, Bass N *et al.* Liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma: a 5-year prospective study validating expanded criteria applied to preoperative imaging. *Hepatology* 2006;44: 191A.
100. Garcia-Retortillo M, Fornis X, Feliu A *et al.* Hepatitis C kinetics during and immediately after transplantation. *Hepatology* 2002; 35: 680-7.
101. Duvoux C, Pawlotsky JM, Cherqui D *et al.* Serial quantitative determination of hepatitis C virus RNA levels after liver transplantation. *Transplantation* 1995; 60: 457-61.
102. DiMartino V, Saurini F, Samuel D *et al.* Longitudinal study of intra-hepatic HCV replication after liver transplantation. *Hepatology* 1997; 26: 1343-50.
103. Ballardini G, de Raffe E, Groff P *et al.* Timing of reinfection and mechanisms of hepatocellular damage in transplanted HCV-infected liver. *Liver Transpl.* 2002; 8: 10-20.
104. Gane E, Naoumov N, Qian K *et al.* A longitudinal analysis of hepatitis C virus replication following liver transplantation. *Gastroenterology* 1996; 110: 167-77.
105. Fukumoto T, Berg T, Ku Y *et al.* Viral dynamics of hepatitis C early after orthotopic liver transplantation: evidence for rapid turnover of serum virions. *Hepatology* 1996; 24: 1351-4.
106. Lim H, Lau G, Davis G, Dolson D. Cholestatic hepatitis leading to hepatic failure in a patient with organ-transmitted HCV infection. *Gastroenterology* 1994; 106: 248-51.
107. Gane E, Portmann B, Naoumov N *et al.* Long-term outcome of hepatitis C infection after liver transplantation. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1996; 334: 821-7.
108. Berenguer M, Prieto M, san Juan F *et al.* Contribution of donor age to the recent decrease in patient survival among HCV-infected liver transplant recipients. *Hepatology* 2002; 36: 202-10.
109. Feray C, Caccamo L, Alexander G, EUROHEP Group. HCV and liver transplantation: preliminary results of a European collaborative study on factors influencing outcome after liver transplantation for hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 1999; 117: 619-25.

110. Forman L, Lewis J, Lewis J *et al.* Association between hepatitis C infection and survival after orthotopic liver transplantation. *Gastroenterology* 2002; 122: 889-96.
111. Sanchez-Fueyo A, Restrepo J, Qunito L *et al.* Impact of recurrence of HCV infection after liver transplantation on the long-term viability of the graft. *Transplantation* 2002; 73: 56-3.
112. Berenguer M, Prieto M, Rayon J *et al.* Natural history of clinically compensated hepatitis C virus-related graft cirrhosis after liver transplantation. *Hepatology* 2000; 32: 852-8.
113. Pruthi J, Medkiff K, Esrason K *et al.* Analysis of causes of death in liver transplant recipients who survived more than 3 years. *Liver Transpl.* 2001; 7: 811-15.
114. Berenguer M, Ferrell L, Watson J *et al.* HCV-related fibrosis progression following liver transplantation: increase in recent years. *J. Hepatol.* 2000; 32: 673-84.
115. Fasola C, Netto G, Onaca N *et al.* A more severe HCV recurrence post-liver transplant observed in recent years may be explained by use of lower dose corticosteroid maintenance protocols. *Hepatology* 2003; 38: 226A.
116. Burak K, Kremers Q, Batts K *et al.* Impact of CMV, year of transplant and donor age on outcomes after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2003; 8: 362-9.
117. Brillanti S, Vivarelli M, De Ruvo N *et al.* Slowly tapering off steroids protects the graft against hepatitis C recurrence after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2002; 8: 884-8.
118. Shiffman M, Stravitz R, Contos M *et al.* Histologic recurrence of chronic hepatitis C virus in patients after living donor and deceased donor liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2004; 10: 1248-55.
119. Wiesner R, Demetris A, Seaberg E *et al.* Acute hepatic allograft rejection: risk factors and impact on outcome. *Hepatology* 1998; 28: 638-45.
120. Charlton M, Seaberg E, Wiesner R *et al.* Predictors of patient and graft survival following liver transplantation for hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 1998; 28: 823-30.
121. Rosen H, Shackleton C, Higa L *et al.* Use of OKT3 is associated with early and severe recurrence of hepatitis C after liver transplantation. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 1997; 92: 1453-7.
122. Sheiner P, Schwartz M, Mor E *et al.* Severe or multiple rejection episodes are associated with early recurrence of hepatitis C after orthotopic liver transplantation. *Hepatology* 1995; 21: 30-4.
123. Singh N, Gayowski T, Ndimbie OK, Nedjar S, Wagener MM, Yu VL. Recurrent hepatitis C virus hepatitis in liver transplant recipients receiving tacrolimus: association with rejection and increased immunosuppression after transplantation. *Surgery* 1996; 19: 452-6.
124. Berenguer M, Prieto M, Cordoba J *et al.* Early development of chronic hepatitis in recurrent hepatitis C infection after liver transplantation—association with rejection. *J. Hepatol.* 1998; 28: 756-63.
125. Charlton M, Seaberg E. Impact of immunosuppression and acute rejection on recurrence hepatitis C: results of the NIDDK Liver Transplant database. *Liver Transpl.* 1999; 5: S107-14.
126. Gaglio P, Park J, Lefkowitz J, Emond J, Brown R. Treatment of acute cellular rejection is associated with severe HCV recurrence in living and deceased donor liver transplant recipients. *Hepatology* 2003; 38: 651A.
127. Berenguer M, Crippin J, Gish R *et al.* A model to predict severe HCV-related disease following liver transplantation. *Hepatology* 2003; 38: 34-41.

128. Fasola C, Heffron T, Sher R *et al.* Multicentre randomised HCV-3 post-liver transplantation—2 year interim report. *Hepatology* 2006; 44: 403A.
129. Zervos XA, Weppler D, Fragulidis GP *et al.* Comparison of tacrolimus with microemulsion cyclosporine as primary immunosuppression in hepatitis C patients after liver transplantation. *Transplantation* 1998; 65: 1044-6.
130. Levy G, Grazi G, Sanjuan F *et al.* 12-month follow-up analysis of a multicentre, randomized, prospective trial in de novo liver transplant recipients (LIS2T) comparing cyclosporine microemulsion (C2 monitoring) and tacrolimus. *Liver Transpl.* 2006; 10: 1464-72.
131. Rosen HR, Hinrichs DJ, Gretch DR *et al.* Association of multispecific CD4 response to hepatitis C and severity of recurrence after liver transplantation. *Gastroenterology* 1999;117: 926-32.
132. Terrault N, Berenguer M. Treating hepatitis C infection in liver transplant recipients. *Liver Transpl.* 2006; 12: 1192-204.
133. Barcena R, Gil-Grande L, Moreno J *et al.* Partial splenic embolisation for the treatment of hypersplenism in liver transplanted patients with hepatitis C virus recurrence before peg-interferon plus ribavirin. *Transplantation* 2005; 79:1634-5.
134. Durlík M, Gaciong Z, Roewinska D *et al.* Long-term results of treatment of chronic hepatitis B and C with interferon in renal allograft recipients. *Transplant Int.* 1998; 11: S135-9.
135. Rostaing L, Izopet J, Baron E, Duffaut M, Puel J, Durand D. Treatment of chronic hepatitis C with recombinant alpha 2b interferon in kidney transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 1995; 59: 1426-31.
136. Rostaing L, Modesto A, Baron E, Cisterne JM, Chabannier MH, Durand D. Acute renal failure in kidney transplant patients treated with interferon alpha 2b for chronic hepatitis C. *Nephron* 1996; 74: 512-16.
137. Kramer P, ten Kate FW, Bijnen AB, Jeekel J, Weimar W. Recombinant leucocyte interferon induces steroid-resistant acute vascular rejection episodes in renal transplant recipients. *Lancet* 1984; 1: 989-90.
138. Feray C, Samuel D, Gigou M, Paradis V, Reynes M, Bismuth H. An open trial of interferon-a recombinant for hepatitis C after liver transplantation. *Hepatology* 1995; 22: 1084-9.
139. Stravitz RT, Shiffman ML, Sanyal AJ *et al.* Effects of interferon treatment on liver histology and allograft rejection in patients with recurrent hepatitis C following liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2004; 10: 850-8.
140. Chalasani N, Manzarbeitia C, Ferenci P *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2a for hepatitis C after liver transplantation: two randomized, controlled trials. *Hepatology* 2005; 41: 289-98.
141. Oton E, Barcena R, Garcia-Garzon S *et al.* Pegylated interferon and ribavirin for the recurrence of chronic hepatitis C genotype 1 in transplant patients. *Transplant. Proc.* 2005; 37: 3963-4.
142. Castells L, Vargas V, Allende H *et al.* Combined treatment with pegylated interferon (alpha-2b) and ribavirin in the acute phase of hepatitis C virus recurrence after liver transplantation. *J. Hepatol.* 2005; 43: 53-9.
143. Shergill AK, Khalili M, Straley S *et al.* Applicability, tolerability and efficacy of pre-emptive antiviral therapy in hepatitis C-infected patients undergoing liver transplantation. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2005; 5: 118-24.
144. Calmus Y, Samuel D, Messner M *et al.* Multicenter randomized trial in HCV-infection patients treated with peginterferon alfa-2a and ribavirin followed by ribavirin alone after liver transplantation: 18-month report. *Hepatology* 2006; 44: 189A.

145. Kuo A, Lan B, Feng S *et al.* Long-term histologic effects of pre-emptive therapy in liver transplant recipients with HCV infection. *Hepatology* 2006; 44: 189A.
146. Crippin J, McCashland T, Terrault N *et al.* Pilot study of tolerability and efficacy of antiviral therapy for HCV-infected patients awaiting liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2002; 8: 350-5.
147. Everson G, Trotter J, Forman L *et al.* Treatment advanced HCV with LADR. *Hepatology* 2005; 42: 255-62.
148. Johnson RJ, Willson R, Yamabe H *et al.* Renal manifestations of hepatitis C virus infection. *Kidney Int.* 1994; 46: 1255-63.
149. Sabry AA, Sobh MA, Irving WL *et al.* A comprehensive study of the association between hepatitis C virus and glomerulopathy. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2002; 17: 239-45.
150. Johnson RJ, Gretch DR, Yamabe H *et al.* Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis associated with hepatitis C virus infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1993; 328: 465-70.
151. Yamabe H, Johnson RJ, Gretch DR *et al.* Hepatitis C virus infection and membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis in Japan. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 1995; 6: 220-3.
152. Misiari R, Bellavita P, Fenili D *et al.* Hepatitis C virus infection in patients with essential mixed cryoglobulinemia. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 1992; 117: 573-5.
153. Bruchfeld A, Lindahl K, Stahle L *et al.* Interferon and ribavirin treatment in patients with hepatitis C-associated renal disease and renal insufficiency. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2003; 18: 1573-80.
154. Teta D, Luscher BL, Gonvers JJ *et al.* Pegylated interferon for the treatment of hepatitis C virus in haemodialysis patients. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2005; 20: 991-3.
155. Donahue JG, Munoz A, Ness PM *et al.* The declining risk of post-transfusion hepatitis C virus infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1992; 327: 369-73.
156. Pereira BJ, Levey AS. Hepatitis C virus infection in dialysis patients and renal transplantation. *Kidney Int.* 1997; 51: 981-99.
157. Dos Santos JP, Loureiro A, Cendoroglo Neto M *et al.* Impact of dialysis room and reuse strategies on the incidence of hepatitis C virus infection in haemodialysis units. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 1996; 11: 2017-22.
158. Froio N, Nicastrì E, Comandini UV *et al.* Contamination by hepatitis B and C viruses in the dialysis setting. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 2003; 42: 546-50.
159. Glue P. The clinical pharmacology of ribavirin. *Semin. Liver Dis.* 1999; 19 (Suppl. 1): 17-24.
160. Meyers CM, Seef LB, Stehman-Breen CO, Hoofnagle JH. Hepatitis and renal disease: an update. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 2003; 42: 631-57.
161. Fabrizi F, Dulai G, Dixit V *et al.* Meta-analysis: interferon for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C in dialysis patients. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2003; 18: 1071-81.
162. Russo MW, Golsweig CD, Jacobson IM, Brown RS Jr. Interferon monotherapy for dialysis patients with chronic hepatitis C: an analysis of the literature on efficacy and safety. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 2003; 98: 1610-15.
163. Campistol JM, Esforzado N, Martinez J *et al.* Efficacy and tolerance of interferon-alpha2b in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus infection in haemodialysis patients. Pre- and post-renal transplantation assessment. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 1999; 14: 2704-9.
164. Huraib S, Tanimu D, Romeh SA *et al.* Interferon-alpha in chronic hepatitis C infection in dialysis patients. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 1999; 34: 55-60.

165. Pereira BJ, Wright TL, Schmid CH, Levey AS, for the New England Organ Bank Hepatitis C Study Group. The impact of pre-transplantation hepatitis C infection on the outcome of renal transplantation. *Transplantation* 1995; 60: 799-805.
166. Toth CM, Pascual M, Chung RT *et al.* Hepatitis C virus-associated fibrosing cholestatic hepatitis after renal transplantation. *Transplantation* 1998; 66: 1254-8.
167. Fabrizi F, Martin P, Dixit V *et al.* Hepatitis C virus antibody status and survival after renal transplantation: meta-analysis of observational studies. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2005; 5: 1452-61.
168. Martin P, Fabrizi F. Treatment of chronic hepatitis C infection in patients with renal failure. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2005; 3: S113-17.
169. Garnier JL, Chevallier P, Dubernard JM *et al.* Treatment of hepatitis C virus infection with ribavirin in kidney transplant patients. *Transplant. Proc.* 1997; 29: 783.
170. Kamar N, Sandres-Saune K, Selves J, Ribes D. Long-term ribavirin therapy in hepatitis C virus-positive renal transplant patients: effects on renal function and liver histology. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 2003; 42: 184-92.
171. Angastiniotis M, Modell B. Global epidemiology of haemoglobin disorders. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1998; 850: 251-69.
172. Wanachiwanawin W, Luengrojanakul P, Sirangkapracha P, Leowattana W, Fucharoen S. Prevalence and clinical significance of hepatitis C virus infection in Thai patients with thalassemia. *Int. J. Hematol.* 2003; 78: 374-8.
173. Ansar MM, Koolobandi A. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in thalassemia and haemodialysis patients in north Iran-Rasht. *J. Viral Hepat.* 2002; 9: 390-2.
174. Vento S, Cainelli F, Cesario F. Infections and thalassemia. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2006; 6: 226-33.
175. Angelucci E, Muretto P, Nicolucci A *et al.* Effects of iron overload and hepatitis C virus positivity in determining progression of liver fibrosis in thalassemia following bone marrow transplantation. *Blood* 2002; 1: 17-21.
176. Ryder SD. BSG, guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of HCC in adults. *Gut* 2003; 52 (Suppl. 3): 1-8.
177. EASL International Consensus Conference on Hepatitis C. Paris, 26-27 February 1999. Consensus statement. *J. Hepatol.* 1999; 31 (Suppl. 1): 3-8.
178. Inati A, Taher A, Ghorra S *et al.* Efficacy and tolerability of peginterferon alpha-2a with or without ribavirin in thalassemia major patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Br. J. Hematol.* 2005; 130: 644-6.
179. Pianko S, McHutchison JG, Gordon SC *et al.* Hepatic iron concentration does not influence response to therapy with interferon plus ribavirin in chronic HCV infection. *J. Interferon Cytokine Res.* 2002; 22: 483-9.
180. Tomas JF, Pinilla I, Garcia-Buey ML *et al.* Long-term liver dysfunction after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation: clinical features and course in 61 patients. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2000; 26: 649-55.
181. Brettler DB, Alter HJ, Dienstag JL, Forsberg AD, Levine PH. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus antibody in a cohort of haemophilia patients. *Blood* 1990; 1: 254-6.
182. Preston FE, Jarvis LM, Makris M *et al.* Heterogeneity of hepatitis C virus genotypes in haemophilia, relationship with chronic liver disease. *Blood* 1995; 85: 1259-62.
183. Plug I, Van Der Bom JG, Peters M *et al.* Mortality and causes of death in patients with haemophilia, 1992-2001: a prospective cohort study. *J. Thromb. Haemost.* 2006; 4: 510-16.

184. Zhang M, Rosenberg PS, Brown DL *et al.* Correlates of spontaneous clearance of hepatitis C virus among people with haemophilia. *Blood* 2006; 107: 892-7.
185. National Institutes of Health. NIH Consensus Statement on Management of Hepatitis C: 2002. *NIH Consens. State Sci. Statements* 2002; 19: 1-46.
186. Theodore D, Fried MW, Kleiner DE *et al.* Liver biopsy in patients with inherited disorders of coagulation and chronic hepatitis C. *Haemophilia* 2004; 10: 413-21.
187. Stieltjes N, Ounnoughene N, Sava E *et al.* Interest of transjugular liver biopsy in adult patients with haemophilia or other congenital bleeding disorders infected with hepatitis C virus. *Br. J. Haematol.* 2004; 125: 769-76.
188. Maor Y, Bashari D, Kenet G *et al.* Non-invasive biomarkers of liver fibrosis in haemophilia patients with hepatitis C: can you avoid liver biopsy? *Haemophilia* 2006; 12: 372-9.
189. Rumi MG, Santagostino E, Morfini M *et al.* A multicentre controlled, randomized, open trial of interferon alpha2b treatment of anti-human immunodeficiency virus-negative haemophilic patients with chronic hepatitis C. Hepatitis Study Group of the Association of Italian Haemophilia Centers. *Blood* 1997; 89: 3529-33.
190. Pinilla J, Quintana M, Magallon M. High-dose and long-term therapy of alpha interferon in haemophilic patients with chronic C virus hepatitis. *Blood* 1998; 91: 727-8.
191. Fried MW, Peter J, Hoots K *et al.* Hepatitis C in adults and adolescents with hemophilia: a randomized, controlled trial of interferon alfa-2b and ribavirin. *Hepatology* 2002; 36: 967-72.
192. Yamamoto K, Honda T, Matsushita T, Kojima T, Takamatsu J. Anti-HCV agent, ribavirin, elevates the activity of clotting factor VII in patients with haemophilia: a possible mechanism of decreased events of bleeding in patients with haemophilia by ribavirin. *J. Thromb. Haemost.* 2006; 4: 469-70.
193. Makris M, Baglin T, Dusheiko G *et al.* Guidelines on the diagnosis, management and prevention of hepatitis in haemophilia. *Haemophilia* 2001; 7: 339-45.
194. Tanaka E, Kiyosawa K, Sodeyama T *et al.* Prevalence of antibody to hepatitis C virus in Japanese schoolchildren: comparison with adult blood donors. *Am. J. Trop Med. Hyg.* 1992; 46: 460-4.
195. Jafri W, Jafri N, Yakoob J *et al.* Hepatitis B and C: prevalence and risk factors associated with seropositivity among children in Karachi, Pakistan. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2006; 23: 101.
196. Lin JB, Lin DB, Chen SC, Chen PS, Chen WK. Seroepidemiology of hepatitis A, B, C, and E viruses infection among preschool children in Taiwan. *J. Med. Virol.* 2006; 78: 18-23.
197. Mast EE, Hwang LY, Seto DS *et al.* Risk factors for perinatal transmission of hepatitis C virus (HCV) and the natural history of HCV infection acquired in infancy. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2005; 192: 1880-9.
198. European Pediatric Hepatitis C Network. A significant sex - but not elective cesarean section - effect on mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C infection. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2005; 192: 1872-9.
199. Resti M, Jara P, Hierro L *et al.* Clinical features and progression of perinatally acquired hepatitis C virus infection. *J. Med. Virol.* 2003; 70: 373-7.
200. Rerksuppaphol S, Hardikar W, Dore GJ. Long-term outcome of vertically acquired and post-transfusion hepatitis C infection in children. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2004; 19: 1357-62.

201. Zuccotti GV, Salvini F, Farina F, Agostoni C, Riva E, Giovannini M. Longitudinal long-term follow-up study of children with vertically acquired hepatitis C virus infection. *J. Int. Med. Res.* 2006; 34: 215-22.
202. Jara P, Resti M, Hierro L *et al.* Chronic hepatitis C virus infection in childhood: clinical patterns and evolution in 224 white children. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2003; 36: 275-80.
203. Birnbaum AH, Shneider BL, Moy L. Hepatitis C in children. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2000; 342: 290-1.
204. Barshes N. The natural history of hepatitis C virus in pediatric liver transplant recipients. *Liver Transpl.* 2006; 12: 1042-3.
205. Polywka S, Pembrey L, Tovo PA, Newell ML. Accuracy of HCV-RNA PCR tests for diagnosis or exclusion of vertically acquired HCV infection. *J. Med. Virol.* 2006; 78: 305-10.
206. Hardikar W, Elliott EJ, Jones CA. The silent infection: should we be testing for perinatal hepatitis C and, if so, how? *Med. J. Aust.* 2006; 184: 54-5.
207. Wirth S, Pieper-Boustani H, Lang T *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin treatment in children and adolescents with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2005; 41: 1013-18.
208. Schwarz KB, Mohan P, Narkewicz MR *et al.* Safety, efficacy and pharmacokinetics of peginterferon alpha2a (40 KD) in children with chronic hepatitis C. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* 2006; 43: 499-505.
209. Amin J, Kaye M, Skidmore S *et al.* HIV and hepatitis C coinfection within the CAESAR study. *HIV Med.* 2004; 5: 174-9.
210. Dore GJ, Cooper DA. The impact of HIV therapy on co-infection with hepatitis B and hepatitis C viruses. *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* 2001; 14: 749-55.
211. Law WP, Dore GJ, Duncombe CJ *et al.* Risk of severe hepatotoxicity associated with antiretroviral therapy in the HIV-NAT Cohort, Thailand, 1996-2001. *AIDS* 2003; 17:2191-9.
212. Rockstroh JK, Mocroft A, Soriano V *et al.* Influence of hepatitis C virus infection on HIV-1 disease progression and response to highly active antiretroviral therapy. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2005; 192: 992-1002.
213. Mocroft A, Soriano V, Rockstroh J *et al.* Is there evidence for an increase in the death rate from liver-related disease in patients with HIV? *AIDS* 2005; 19: 2117-25.
214. Torriani FJ, Rodriguez-Torres M, Rockstroh JK *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection in HIV-infected patients. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2004; 351: 438-50.
215. Carrat F, Bani-Sadr F, Pol S *et al.* Pegylated interferon alfa-2b vs standard interferon alfa-2b, plus ribavirin, for chronic hepatitis C in HIV-infected patients: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2004; 292: 2839-48.
216. Mauss S, Valenti W, DePamphilis J *et al.* Risk factors for hepatic decompensation in patients with HIV/HCV coinfection and liver cirrhosis during interferon-based therapy. *AIDS* 2004; 18: F21-5.
217. Atanasova MV, Haydouchka IA, Zlatev SP, Stoilova YD, Iliev YT, Mateva NG. Prevalence of antibodies against hepatitis C virus and hepatitis B coinfection in healthy population in Bulgaria. A seroepidemiological study. *Minerva Gastroenterol. Dietol.* 2004; 50: 89-96.
218. Shiratori Y, Shiina S, Zhang PY *et al.* Does dual infection by hepatitis B and C viruses play an important role in the pathogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma in Japan? *Cancer* 1997; 80: 2060-7.
219. Sato S, Fujiyama S, Tanaka M *et al.* Coinfection of hepatitis C virus in patients with chronic hepatitis B infection. *J. Hepatol.* 1994; 21: 159-66.

220. Ohkawa K, Hayashi N, Yuki N *et al.* Hepatitis C virus antibody and hepatitis C virus replication in chronic hepatitis B patients. *J. Hepatol.* 1994; 21: 509-14.
221. Liaw YF. Role of hepatitis C virus in dual and triple hepatitis virus infection. *Hepatology* 1995; 22: 1101-8.
222. Chan CY, Lee SD, Wu JC *et al.* Superinfection with hepatitis C virus in patients with symptomatic chronic hepatitis B. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 1991; 23: 421-4.
223. Gaeta GB, Stornaiuolo G, Precone DF *et al.* Epidemiological and clinical burden of chronic hepatitis B virus/hepatitis C virus infection. A multicenter Italian study. *J. Hepatol.* 2003; 39: 1036-41.
224. Di Marco V, Lo Iacono O, Camma C *et al.* The long-term course of chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 1999; 30: 257-64.
225. Fattovich G, Tagger A, Brollo L *et al.* Hepatitis C virus infection in chronic hepatitis B virus carriers. *J. Infect. Dis.* 1991; 163: 400-2.
226. Crespo J, Lozano JL, de la Cruz F *et al.* Prevalence and significance of hepatitis C viremia in chronic active hepatitis B. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 1994; 89: 1147-51.
227. Pallas JR, Farinas-Alvarez C, Prieto D, Delgado-Rodriguez M. Co-infections by HIV, hepatitis B and hepatitis C in imprisoned injecting drug users. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 1999; 15: 699-704.
228. Liaw YF, Chen YC, Sheen IS, Chien RN, Yeh CT, Chu CM. Impact of acute hepatitis C virus superinfection in patients with chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *Gastroenterology* 2004; 126: 1024-9.
229. Liaw YF. Hepatitis C virus superinfection in patients with chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *J. Gastroenterol.* 2002; 37 (Suppl. 13): 65-8.
230. Jardi R, Rodriguez F, Buti M *et al.* Role of hepatitis B, C, and D viruses in dual and triple infection: influence of viral genotypes and hepatitis B precore and basal core promoter mutations on viral replicative interference. *Hepatology* 2001; 34: 404-10.
231. Liaw YF. Concurrent hepatitis B and C virus infection: is hepatitis C virus stronger? *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2001; 16: 597-8.
232. Crockett SD, Keeffe EB. Natural history and treatment of hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus coinfection. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* 2005; 4: 13-24.
233. Liaw YF, Chu CM, Chang-Chien CS, Wui CS. Simultaneous acute infections with hepatitis non-A, non-B, and B viruses. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 1982; 27: 762-4.
234. Chu CM, Sheen IS, Liaw YF. The role of hepatitis C virus in fulminant viral hepatitis in an area with endemic hepatitis A and B. *Gastroenterology* 1994; 107: 189-95.
235. Liaw YF, Yeh CT, Tsai SL. Impact of acute hepatitis B virus superinfection on chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 2000; 95: 2978-80.
236. Wu JC, Chen CL, Hou MC, Chen TZ, Lee SD, Lo KJ. Multiple viral infection as the most common cause of fulminant and subfulminant viral hepatitis in an area endemic for hepatitis B. application and limitations of the polymerase chain reaction. *Hepatology* 1994; 19: 836-40.
237. Fong TL, Di Bisceglie AM, Waggoner JG, Banks SM, Hoofnagle JH. The significance of antibody to hepatitis C virus in patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 1991; 14: 64-7.

238. Mohamed Ael S, al Karawi MA, Mesa GA. Dual infection with hepatitis C and B viruses: clinical and histological study in Saudi patients. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1997; 44: 1404-6.
239. Benvegno L, Fattovich G, Noventa F *et al.* Concurrent hepatitis B and C virus infection and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis. A prospective study. *Cancer* 1994; 74: 2442-8.
240. Chiaramonte M, Stroffolini T, Vian A *et al.* Rate of incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with compensated viral cirrhosis. *Cancer* 1999; 85: 2132-7.
241. Weltman MD, Brotodihardjo A, Crewe EB *et al.* Coinfection with hepatitis B and C, or B, C and delta viruses results in severe chronic liver disease and responds poorly to interferon-alpha treatment. *J. Viral Hepat.* 1995; 2: 39-45.
242. Kaklamani E, Trichopoulos D, Tzonou A *et al.* Hepatitis B and C viruses and their interaction in the origin of hepatocellular carcinoma. *JAMA* 1991; 265: 1974-6.
243. Kew MC, Yu MC, Kedda MA, Coppin A, Sarkin A, Hodgkinson J. The relative roles of hepatitis B and C viruses in the etiology of hepatocellular carcinoma in southern African blacks. *Gastroenterology* 1997; 112: 184-7.
244. Guptan RC, Thakur V, Raina V, Sarin SK. Alfa-interferon therapy in chronic hepatitis due to active dual infection with hepatitis B and C viruses. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 1999; 14: 893-8.
245. Villa E, Grottola A, Buttafoco P *et al.* High doses of alfa-interferon are required in chronic hepatitis due to coinfection with hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus: long term results of a prospective randomized trial. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 2001; 96: 2973-7.
246. Liaw YF, Chien RN, Lin SM *et al.* Response of patients with dual hepatitis B virus and C virus infection to interferon therapy. *J. Interferon Cytokine Res.* 1997; 17: 449-52.
247. Liu CJ, Chen PJ, Lai MY, Kao JH, Jeng YM, Chen DS. ribavirin and interferon is effective for hepatitis C virus clearance in hepatitis B and C dually infected patients. *Hepatology* 2003; 37: 568-76.
248. Hung CH, Lee CM, Lu SN *et al.* Combination therapy with interferon-alfa and ribavirin in patients with dual hepatitis B and hepatitis C virus infection. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2005; 20: 727-32.
249. Chuang WL, Dai CY, Chang WY *et al.* Viral interaction and responses in chronic hepatitis C and B co-infected patients with interferon-alfa plus ribavirin combination therapy. *Antivir. Ther.* 2005; 10: 125-33.
250. Marrone A, Zampino R, D'Onofrio M, Ricciotti R, Ruggiero G, Utili R. Combined interferon plus lamivudine treatment in young patients with dual HBV (HBeAg positive) and HCV chronic infection. *J. Hepatol.* 2004; 41: 1064-5.
251. Lunel F, Musset L, Cacoub P *et al.* Cryoglobulinemia in chronic liver diseases: role of hepatitis C virus and liver damage. *Gastroenterology* 1994; 106: 1291-300.
252. Kayali Z, Buckwold VE, Zimmerman B, Schmidt WN. Hepatitis C, cryoglobulinemia, and cirrhosis: a meta-analysis. *Hepatology* 2002; 36: 978-85.
253. Rossi P, Bertani T, Baio P *et al.* Hepatitis C virus related cryoglobulinemic glomerulonephritis. Long-term remission after antiviral therapy. *Kidney Int.* 2003; 63: 2236-41.

254. Alric L, Plaisier E, Thebault S *et al.* Influence of antiviral therapy in hepatitis C virus-associated cryoglobulinemic MPGN. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 2004; 43: 617-23.
255. Johnson RJ, Grecht DR, Yamabe H *et al.* Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis associated with hepatitis C virus infection. *New Engl. J. Med.* 1993; 328: 465-70.
256. Yamabe H, Johnson RJ, Gretch DR *et al.* Hepatitis C virus infection and membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis in Japan. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 1995; 6: 220-3.
257. D'Amico G, Fornasieri A. Cryoglobulinemic glomerulonephritis: a membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis induced by hepatitis C virus. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 1995; 25: 361-9.
258. Alric L, Plaisier E, Thebault S *et al.* Influence of antiviral therapy in hepatitis C virus associated cryoglobulinemia MPGN. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 2004; 43: 617-23.
259. Philipnert M, Bastani B. Kidney diseases in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Curr. Gastroenterol. Rep.* 2001; 3: 79-83.
260. Cacoub P, Saedoun D, Limal N, Leger JM, Maisonobe T. Hepatitis C virus infection and mixed cryoglobulinemia vasculitis: a review of neurological complication. *AIDS* 2005; 19: S128-34.
261. De Marino L, Sampaolo S, Tucci C *et al.* Viral RNA in nerve tissues of patients with hepatitis C infection and peripheral neuropathy. *Muscle Nerve* 2003; 27: 102-4.
262. Ramos-Casals M, Trejo O, Garcia-Carrasco M, Font J. Therapeutic management of extrahepatic manifestations in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2003; 42: 818-28.
263. Matsuo K, Kusano A, Sugumar A, Nakamura S, Tajima K, Mueller NE. Effect of hepatitis C virus infection on the risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphomas a meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. *Cancer Sci.* 2004; 95: 745-52.
264. Gisberg JP, Garcia-Buey L, Pajares JM, Moreno-Otero R. Systematic review: regression of lymphoproliferative disorders after treatment for hepatitis C infection. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2005; 21: 653-62.
265. Takeshita M, Sakai H, Okamura S *et al.* Prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection cases of B-cell lymphoma in Japan. *Histopathology* 2006; 48: 189-98.
266. Zuckerman E, Zuckerman T, Levine A *et al.* Hepatitis C virus infection in patients with B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 1997; 127: 423-8.
267. Silvestri F, Pipan C, Barillari G *et al.* Prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in patients with lymphoproliferative disorders. *Blood* 1996; 87: 4296-301.
268. Ferri C, Sebastiani M, Giuggioli D *et al.* Mixed cryoglobulinemia: demographic, clinical, and serologic features and survival in 231 patients. *Semin. Arthritis Rheum.* 2004; 33: 355-74.
269. Cacoub P, Saadoun D, Limal N, Sene D, Lidove O, Piette JC. Pegylated interferon alfa-2b and ribavirin treatment in patients with hepatitis C virus-related systemic vasculitis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2005; 52: 911-15.
270. Gisbert JP, Garcia-Buey L, Pajares JM, Moreno-Otero R. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in porphyria cutanea tarda: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Hepatol.* 2003; 39: 620-7.
271. Bonkovsky HL, Poh-Fitzpatrick M, Pimatone N *et al.* Porphyria cutanea tarda, hepatitis C, and HFE gene mutations in North America. *Hepatology* 1998; 27: 1661-9.

272. Poynard T, Cacoub P, Ratziu V *et al.* Fatigue in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *J. Viral Hepat.* 2002; 9: 295-303.
273. Zuckerman E, Keren D, Rozenbaum M *et al.* Hepatitis C virus-related arthritis: characteristics and response to therapy with interferon alpha. *Clin. Exp. Rheumatol.* 2000; 18: 579-84.
274. Lee DH, Jamal H, Regnestein FG, Perrillo RP. Morbidity of chronic hepatitis C as seen in tertiary care medical centre. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 1997; 42: 186-91.
275. Goh J, Coughlan B, Quinn J, O'Keane JC, Crowe J. Fatigue does not correlate with the degree of hepatitis or the presence of autoimmune disorder in chronic hepatitis C infection. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 1999; 11: 833-8.
276. Barkhuizen A, Rosen HR, Wolf S, Flora K, Benner K, Bennett RM. Musculoskeletal pain and fatigue are associated with chronic hepatitis C: a report of 239 hepatology clinic patients. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 1999; 94: 1355-60.
277. Cacoub P, Ratziu V, Myers RP *et al.* Impact of treatment on extra hepatic manifestations in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *J. Hepatol.* 2002; 36: 812-18.

Reprint Address

Professor GW McCaughan, Centenary Research Institute, AW Morrow Gastroenterology and Liver Centre, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia. Email: g.mccaughan@centenary.usyd.edu.au

Co-chairs: **GW McCaughan**, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia; **M Omata**, Tokyo University Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

Faculty Members: **D Amarapurkar**, Bombay Hospital, Mumbai, India; **S Bowden**, Victorian Infectious Diseases Reference Laboratories, Melbourne, Australia; **WC Chow**, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore; **A Chutaputti**, Pramongkutklao Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand; **G Dore**, National Center in HIV Epidemiology and Clinical Research, Sydney, Australia; **E Gane**, NZ Liver Transplant Unit, Auckland, New Zealand; **R Guan**, Mount Elizabeth Medical Center, Singapore; **SS Hamid**, The Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan; **W Hardikar**, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia; **CK Hui**, Queen Mary Hospital, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China; **W Jafri**, The Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan; **J-D Jia**, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China; **M-Y Lai**, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taiwan; **L Wei**, Peking University Peoples Hospital, Beijing, China; **N Leung**, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China; **T Piratvisuth**, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Thailand; **S Sarin**, GB Pant Hospital,

Delhi, India; **J Sollano**, University Santo Tomas Hospital, Manilla, Philippines; **R Tateishi**, University of Tokyo Hospital, Tokyo Japan

Conflict of interest statements from APASL Hepatitis C working party members: G McCaughan: Research grant support—Roche; Advisory board—Roche, Schering Plough (SP). M Omata: Advisory board—Roche, Bristol Myers Squibb. G Dore: Research grant support—Roche and SP; Advisory board—Roche and SP; Travel grants—Roche and SP. E Gane: Advisory board—Glaxo Smith Kline (GSK), Novartis. R Guan: Advisory board—GSK, SP, SciGen, SciClone Pharmaceuticals. T Piratvisuth: Advisory Board—Roche, SP, GSK and Novartis. J Sollano: Research grant support—GSK, Bristol Myers Squibb, Gilead, Eisai, Otsuka; Advisory board—GSK, SP, Eisai, Novartis